

2017 - 2018 Legislative Scorecard

Visit our interactive Scorecard at cvsc.org/scorecard

Introduction

Welcome to the 2017-2018 Conservation Scorecard, your window into the South Carolina State General Assembly and the actions taken – or not taken – to protect the South Carolina you love.

The State General Assembly can be complicated and confusing. Our job is to show you who is working for – or against – the protection of our air, land, and water. We score how House and Senate members voted on bills important to the conservation community. In cases where there was confusion about an issue or a lack of consensus from the conservation community, we do not include those votes in the score chart.

The 2017-2018 session was historic in many respects, from the vast number of votes in the House to the landmark legislation passed, defended, and defeated. The average scores returned to historic norms (from last year's high), but the scores of some of our champions were historically high, still. Statistical scores, however, are an incomplete report on the legislative record. Who sponsored the good and bad bills? Who fought in Committee? Who worked behind the scenes or spoke on the floor? To add some additional context, we are including a bump (plus or minus) for bill sponsorships. Not only does this show who doesn't have your interests at heart, it highlights who is going the extra mile for conservation.

The descriptions below describe the content of the bills, the process they went through, and the votes tallied in the following spreadsheets. Those votes are then averaged to produce the Legislator's score. The Legislator's lifetime score is an average of this session plus each previous session served.

Vote Descriptions

S.44 Solar Market Growth

(Second Reading) 2/1/17 Senate Vote: 38-4

S.44 establishes a clear and consistent path for property tax treatment of solar panels and other renewable energy installations; providing an 80% reduction of Fair Market Value for purposes of property tax assessment. The bill also clarifies the current treatment of all residential solar panels as exempt from property tax.

Sponsored by Senator Greg Gregory (R-Lancaster) and Senator Glenn Reese (D-Spartanburg), Senators understood the need to grow our solar market in South Carolina and passed the bill on February 1, 2017 with a vote of 38 to 4. Unfortunately, after passing the Senate, the bill sat in the House Ways and Means Committee until it received a subcommittee hearing on May 2, 2017, at the request of Reps. J. Smith and Loftis. After hours of debate and testimony, Reps. Pitts, White, and Whitmire voted to adjourn debate and killed the bill, with Rep. Anthony as the only voice on the subcommittee who supported solar growth. The bill never progressed out of subcommittee in the House.

- This is a Pro-Conservation Bill.
- The Pro-Conservation Vote was YES for passage of the bill
- The Bill passed the Senate on 2/1/17, but died in House Ways & Means subcommittee.

H.3529 Anti-Home Rule

(Continuing Bill) 3/7/17 House Vote: 50-49 (Second Reading) 2/7/18 House Vote: 73-41

H.3529 sought to prohibit communities from adopting local ordinances affecting disposable containers, specifically plastic bags and Styrofoam. With several intense debates on the roles of local and state government and the detrimental effects of plastics on our coastal economies, House champions won a very close vote on March 7, 2017, to delay consideration of the bill for a year by "continuing the bill."

The bill was introduced by Bedingfield (R-Greenville), Sandifer (R-Oconee), Hamilton (R-Greenville), Forrester (R-Spartanburg) and many others.

H.3529 was again eligible for consideration in the House in 2018. Despite valiant attempts by House champions, special interests succeeded in flipping a number of votes to allow the bill's passage in the House on February 7, 2018, by a vote of 73-41.

The bill then moved to the Senate, where it ultimately died in 2018.

House champions that assisted with the continuance vote in 2017 and stuck with us in 2018 include Representatives Brown, Clary, Cobb-Hunter, Cogswell, Erickson, Herbkersman, McCoy, McKnight, Newton, Ott, J. Smith, Stavrinakis, Sottile.

- This is an Anti-Conservation Bill.
- The Pro-Conservation Vote was YES for continuing the bill on March 7, 2017, and NO for second reading on February 2, 2018.
- The Continuing vote was successful in 2017. However, the bill passed the House in 2018 and then stalled and died in the Senate.

S.105 Saving the Automatic Stay

(Special Order Vote) 3/7/17 Senate Vote: 25-15 (Adjournment Vote) 3/8/2017 Senate Vote: 10-22 (Second Reading) 2/27/18 House Vote: 86-30

The Automatic Stay is an important pause button that goes into effect when a permit decision is challenged, but before the permit is issued, in the Administrative Law Court (ALC). This stay has paused activities that may have done irreparable harm before the permit is reviewed in the ALC.

S.105 was introduced by Senators Rankin (R-Horry), Goldfinch (R-Georgetown), and Verdin (R-Laurens) to establish a time limit on the Stay and shift responsibility for showing irreparable harm to those challenging the permit, impairing the ability of citizens to participate in the permitting process.

After intense debate in subcommittee and committee in the Senate, the bill made it to the floor of the Senate where it was stalled by an objection from Senator McElveen. The Senate attempted to make this bill priority for consideration (by placing it on "special order") and overcome Senator McElveen's objection. The vote on the Senate floor failed to reach the 2/3 majority and failed 25-15 (27 were needed).

After the failed special order vote, the Senate Rules Committee, under the leadership of Senator Massey, used its special order slot to bring this bill up for debate. Senator McElveen led an intense filibuster with several other

Senators working to kill the bill or reduce its impact. In an effort to prolong the filibuster, Senator McElveen moved to adjourn for the day. His motion to adjourn failed 10-22.

The debate continued, and ultimately, S.105 was amended (improving it slightly) before being passed out of the Senate.

The amendment limits the stay to 90 days, requires a hearing within 30 days, shifts the burden of proof to the public for maintaining the stay, stipulates that a case cannot be found moot if the stay is lifted and work on the permit proceeds, and requires the Administrative Law Court to address the case within 12 months. The bill also provides an exception for hazardous waste permits, requiring these to follow the "old" version of the automatic stay law. Thanks go to Senator McElveen, Kimpson, Sheheen, Hutto, Senn, Allen, Fanning, Young, and Scott for their work to improve this bad bill.

After passing the Senate, the bill was considered by the House. After a lengthy committee process, the bill made it to the floor of the House where the Senate amendments were preserved and the bill was passed. The bill was then signed into law by Governor McMaster March 12th, 2018.

- This is an Anti-Conservation Bill.
- The Pro-Conservation Vote was NO for special order in the Senate, YES for adjournment in the Senate, and NO for the bill's passage in the House.
- An amended bill passed the Senate in 2017, the House in 2018, and was signed into law by the Governor in 3/12/2018.

H.3653 Immunity from Nuisance Suits

(Second Reading) 3/22/17 House Vote: 78-27 (Second Reading) 1/31/18 Senate Vote: 29-14

H. 3653 sought to give industries immunity from nuisance suits from their neighbors. Nuisance laws allow citizens to take legal action to protect their property and quality of life from excessive light, noise, and odor pollution that comes from neighboring industrial sites. The conservation community opposed this bill because it limited the rights of citizens to take action and protect their families and property from pollution by giving immunity to industries from nuisance suits.

H.3653 was introduced by Forrester (R-Spartanburg), Yow (R-Chesterfield), Loftis (R-Greenville), Henegan (D-Marlboro), Spires (R-Lexington), Anderson (D-Georgetown), Burns (R-Greenville), V.S. Moss (R-Cherokee), Crawford (R-Horry) and several others.

After several unsuccessful attempts at amendments to reduce the impact of the bill led by Rep. Clary (R-Clemson), the bill ultimately passed the House by a vote of 78-27.

In the Senate, the bill was placed on special order against the objections of Senator Bright-Matthews and others.

Once the bill was up for debate, Senator Sheheen filibustered the bill, aided by Senators Campsen, Davis, Fanning, Hutto, Kimpson, Martin, and Bright-Matthews. Through their efforts the bill was amended and its impacts greatly reduced. Unfortunately, it still put property owners in a weaker position against polluting industrial neighbors. On January 31, 2018, the Senate passed the amended version of H.3653 by a vote of 29-14. The House agreed with the Senate amendments and sent the bill to the Governor February 12th, 2018.

- This is an Anti-Conservation Bill.
- The Pro-Conservation Vote was NO for the bill's passage in the House and in the Senate.
- The bill passed the House in 2017, an amended version passed the Senate in 2018, and the bill was signed into law by the Governor in 2/12/2018.

H.3929 The Poultry Bill

(Cloture Vote) 5/9/17 House Vote: 54-44

H.3929 reduces the ability of neighbors and DHEC to address the negative impacts of poultry operations on adjacent lands and natural resources. The bill was yet another attempt to reduce people's ability to engage in the permitting process and protect their rights to a clean and healthy environment. The bill as proposed established a \$5,000 filing fee for appeals of poultry permits, eliminated vegetative buffer requirements, limited the number of citizens with 'standing' to bring and appeal, and took away DHEC's discretion in establishing setbacks from water sources.

H.3929 was introduced by Representatives Hiott (R-Pickens), Pitts (R-Laurens), Kirby (D-Florence), Yow (R-Chesterfield), Sandifer (R-Oconee), Atkinson (D-Marion), and several others.

After much discussion with stakeholders, the House Ag and Natural Resources Committee, led by Chairman Hiott, removed the \$5,000 filing fee for appealing a permit and reinserted the requirements for vegetative buffers.

When the bill came to the floor of the House, Rep. Clary introduced over 100 amendments to kill, delay, or improve the bill. In order to limit the number of amendments introduced and the amount of debate on each amendment, the House moved to "invoke cloture" on May 9, 2017, by a vote of 54-44. This vote prevented a prolonged floor fight and weakened the ability of Rep. Clary (R-Clemson) to improve the bill.

Ultimately, H.3929 passed the House by a vote of 77-12 (this vote was not scored).

Once in the Senate, Senator Kimpson led a lengthy filibuster in order to secure several amendments and improve the bill further. On March 12, 2018, Governor Henry McMaster signed H.3929 into law.

- This is a procedural vote on an Anti-Conservation Bill.
- The Pro-Conservation Vote was NO for cloture in the House.
- The bill passed the House in 2017, an amended version passed the Senate in 2018, and the bill became law 3/12/2018.

H.3218 Dam Safety Reform

(Second) 2/1/17 House Vote: 104-3

In response to the floods of 2015-2016, H.3218 would have updated the dam safety law to give DHEC the tools and data necessary to protect citizens, their property, and the environment. Speaker Lucas (R-Darlington) and Representatives Hiott (R-Pickens), V.S. Moss (R-Cherokee), Pitts (R-Laurens), West (R-Anderson), and Crosby (R-Charleston) and several House members introduced H.3218. The House Ag and Natural Resources Committee worked diligently to study the issue and shepherd the bill through the House. On February 2, 2017, H.3218 passed the House by a vote of 102-1.

H.3218 remained in the Senate Committee on Agriculture and Natural Resources for the rest of session with only one subcommittee hearing. With a failure to come to a consensus, the Senators committed to work over

the "off-season" to craft legislation supported by a broad array of stakeholders as well as House and Senate members. Only time will tell if this process bears fruit and if meaningful legislation will be crafted for introduction and adoption in 2019.

- This is a Pro-Conservation Bill.
- The Pro-Conservation Vote was YES for passage in the House.
- The bill passed the House in 2017, but stalled and died in the Senate.

H.4379 Utilities Consumer Advocate

(Second Reading) 1/23/18 House Vote: 114-1

H.4379 was one of six bills introduced in the House as a result of the failed VC Summer nuclear project in order to reform the Base Load Review Act (BLRA), the law which governs the relationship between utilities and their ratepayers. In the fall-out of the project, energy reform leaders in the House crafted the bill to create a Consumer Advocate who would argue for ratepayer protections in Public Service Commission rate-making cases. The proposed House bill would have established a consumer advocate in the Attorney General's office and would have reformed the mission of ORS to no longer include the economic viability of utilities.

H.4379 was introduced by Representatives McCoy (R-Charleston), Ott (D-Calhoun), Lucas (R-Darlington), Anderson (D-Georgetown), Ballentine (R-Lexington), and a long list of bipartisan co-sponsors. During debate on the House floor, the bill had several champions including Representatives Ott, Finlay, Clary, McCoy, Toole, Cobb-Hunter, J.E. Smith, and Caskey. After thoughtful debate, the bill passed out of the House with a 114-1 vote on January 23, 2018; sending a signal that House members were taking energy reform and ratepayer protection measures seriously.

Eventually, this bill passed the Senate unanimously on the last day of the legislative session. That day Senator Davis (R-Beaufort) led the Senate to amend the bill to also include language supporting large-scale solar production in South Carolina (similar to the language in S.890). When the General Assembly returned for the 27th and 28th of June, the Consumer Advocate portion of H.4379 was then inserted into H.4375 along with many of the other BLRA reform measures, which was ratified June 28th. Unfortunately, the large-scale solar language was not included.

- This is a Pro-Conservation Bill.
- The Pro-Conservation Vote was YES for passage
- The Bill passed the House on 1/23/18 and the Senate on 5/10/18. The bill did not come up for a concurrence vote.

H.5045 Net Metering Cap Increase

(Table Motion to Recommit) 4/5/18 House Vote: 51-50

(Continuing Bill) 4/5/18 House Vote: 61-39

H.5045 was written to protect the utilities from potential lost revenue by sabotaging efforts to continue growing South Carolina's solar industry. This bill was introduced by Rep. Bill Sandifer (R-Oconee), White (R-Anderson), and Forrester (R-Spartanburg) and fast-tracked through the Labor, Commerce, and Industry subcommittee and committee the next legislative day with no debate about its job-killing implications or the additional cost that

would be passed on to energy ratepayers. The timing was also orchestrated to place it directly before the Solar Jobs Bill (see information on H.4421, below) to pressure solar supporters to seek a compromise.

Solar champions didn't give up, and Reps. Stavrinakis, Ballentine, Clary, Caskey, Williams, and J.E. Smith rose to challenge the bill. Rep. James Smith made a motion to recommit the bill to committee which would have killed it. Rep. Forrester, a supporter of the bill, made a motion to "table" the motion to recommit. The House voted 51-50 on April 5, 2018 to table the motion to recommit, letting debate on the bill continue. This was one vote in a series of four votes on H.5045 and a series of 14 votes on H.5045 and H.4421.

While this vote was a loss, the early vote picture allowed our clean-energy champions to work to flip votes on the floor, ultimately allowing a bipartisan coalition of clean energy champions to kill H.5045 through a continuance vote of 61-39.

Killing this bill allowed the House to proceed to debate and discussion on H.4421, the Solar Jobs Bill.

- This is an Anti-Conservation Bill.
- The Pro-Conservation Vote was NO for tabling the motion to recommit and YES for Continuance.
- The bill died in the House.

H.4421 Solar Jobs

(Second Reading) 4/5/18 House Vote: 64-33

(Table Motion to Reconsider) 4/10/18 House Vote: 55-54

(Third Reading) 4/10/18 House Vote: 61-44

H.4421 was introduced to eliminate the net metering cap on residential solar and allow our state's solar energy sector to continue to produce thousands of good-paying clean energy jobs, lower power bills, and eliminate payment to utilities for "lost revenue" due to solar growth. This bill was broadly supported by the conservation community, solar industries, and a wide range of other stakeholders.

The bill was introduced by Representatives J.E. Smith (D-Richland), McCoy (R-Charleston), Ott (D-Calhoun), G.M. Smith (R-Sumter), Ballentine (R-Lexington), W. Newton (R-Beaufort), Bales (D-Kershaw), McEachern (D-Richland), Brown (D-Charleston), Henegan (D-Marlboro), Clary (R-Pickens), Arrington (R-Dorchester), Stavrinakis (D-Charleston), Caskey (R-Lexington), Norrell (D-Lancaster), Bernstein (R-Richland), Knight (D-Colleton), Gilliard (D-Charleston), Funderburk (D-Kershaw), Loftis (R-Greenville), M. Rivers (D-Beaufort), Cobb-Hunter (D-Orangeburg) and King (D-York)

H.4421 came up on the floor of the House immediately after the defeat of a utility-written solar sabotage bill that was put there to derail it (see H.5045, immediately above).

As part of a series of 10 votes on H.4421 on April 5, 2018, clean energy champions (such as Representatives Stavrinakis, Ballentine, Clary, Caskey, Williams, and J.E. Smith) worked to secure a 64-33 victory on second reading.

On April 10, however, Rep. Thayer raised a parliamentary inquiry concerning the bill – asking if 2/3 of the House would be needed for passage of the bill because it contained property tax exemptions. After lengthy discussions with Reps. James Smith, Mandy Powers Norrell, and others, Speaker Lucas ruled that a 2/3 vote would be required for passage per the SC Constitution. This set the threshold for approval at 82 votes.

Rep. James Smith and other clean energy champions like Peter McCoy, Katie Arrington, Gilda Cobb-Hunter, Russell Ott, Gary Clary, and Mandy Powers Norrell took steps to try and remove the property tax provisions of the bill and eliminate the need for an 82 vote supermajority. The only way to remove the offending section was to move the House into a "Committee of the Whole," a tactic that had not been used in over 30 years in the SC House.

Anti-solar Representatives moved to table a motion by James Smith to move into the Committee of the Whole. The tabling motion succeeded by a vote of 54-51, preventing amendment of the bill. This vote is not scored.

Rep. Arrington then moved to reconsider the tabling vote in order to try again to enter the Committee of the Whole. This vote failed 55-54.

With all efforts to fix the bill exhausted, the bill proceeded to third reading with the supermajority vote requirement still in place. While the bill received a majority of votes with 61-44, it did not reach the 82 vote supermajority and therefore was defeated on third reading.

- This is a Pro-Conservation Bill.
- The Pro-Conservation Vote was YES for second reading of the bill, NO for Table Motion to Reconsider, and YES for third reading
- The bill died in the House.

H.4644 Landfill Clean-Up & Emergency Fund

(Second) 3/9/18 House Vote: 98-1 (Second) 4/25/18 Senate Vote: 43-0

H.4644 gives DHEC the ability to respond immediately to landfill emergencies, requires construction, and demolition recyclers to quickly process the debris they collect, and gives localities zoning authority instead of DHEC.

The bill was introduced by Dillard (D-Greenville), Anthony (D-Union), Atkinson (D-Marion), Kirby (D-Florence), Henderson-Myers (D-Spartanburg), Martin (R-Newberry), Burns (R-Greenville), Williams (D-Darlington), Yow (R-Chesterfield), W. Newton (R-Beaufort), Hewitt (R-Georgetown), Blackwell (R-Aiken), Forrest (R-Lexington) and Hixon (R-Aiken); it was formed through a consensus-based stakeholder process at DHEC that yielded a broad coalition of support.

The House voted 98-1 on March 9, 2018 to support the bill. The Senate voted on April 25, 2018, to approve the bill. Governor McMaster then signed it into law on May 3, 2018.

- These are votes on a Pro-Conservation Bill.
- The Pro-Conservation Vote was YES for second reading in the House and Senate
- The bill has been signed into law.

H.4727 Conservation Bank Re-authorization

(Second) 2/14/18 House Vote: 107-3 (Second) 3/22/18 Senate Vote: 38-0 H. 4727 permanently re-authorizes the Conservation Bank while also maintaining its independence, preserving its competitive grants process, ensuring its ability to support cost-effective conservation easements, and implementing several structural reforms to the Board and operations to improve transparency and inter-agency coordination related to conservation efforts.

H.4727 was sponsored by Representatives White (R-Anderson), Hardee (R-Horry), Yow (R-Chesterfield), Huggins (R-Lexington), Jefferson (D-Berkeley), Hosey (D-Barnwell), Anderson (D-Georgetown), West (R-Anderson), Hewitt (R-Georgetown), Finlay (R-Richland), Ott (D-Calhoun), Duckworth (R-Horry), Sandifer (R-Oconee), Davis (R-Berkeley), Clary (R-Pickens), B. Newton (R-Lancaster), J.E. Smith (D-Richland), Rutherford (D-Richland), Bernstein (D-Richland), W. Newton (R-Beaufort), Herbkersman (R-Beaufort), McCoy (R-Charleston), Lowe (R-Darlington), Elliott (R-Greenville), and S. Rivers (R-Berkeley).

Representatives White, Pitts, Lowe, and others worked on passage out of the House on February 14, 2018 with a vote of 107-3.

The bill was shepherded through the Senate by Senators Setzler, Leatherman, Campsen, and others. On March 22, 2018, the Senate passed H.4727 by a vote of 38-0

The nearly unanimous passage of this bill in both the House and Senate demonstrated the support for the State's continued investment in the protection of public and private lands.

The bill was signed into law by Governor McMaster on June 18, 2018.

- These are votes on a Pro-Conservation Bill.
- The Pro-Conservation Vote was YES for second reading in the House and Senate
- The bill has been signed into law.

H.4950 Prudency Budget Amendment 38a

(Adoption) 5/2/18 *House Vote: 67-38*

Rep. James Smith (D-Columbia) introduced a "prudency" amendment to the House budget (amendment 38a) to provide a second vehicle to ensure this critical legal concept is codified in this past legislative session (note that H.4375 now includes this language as passed; for more, please see the summary for H.4379 above).

This amendment defines imprudence and prudence in regard to utility contracts and cost decisions — establishing a clear standard or test for which expenses will be deemed "prudent" and may, therefore, be included in rates charged to customers. For example, many have argued that expenses on the VC Summer project were not prudent; therefore, these costs should not be passed on to ratepayers. The Base Load Review Act (BLRA) of 2007, in effect, gave near permanent prudency to all VC Summer related costs.

The language in this budget amendment will help to avoid another VC Summer nuclear debacle and moves SC away from the utility-friendly system that was created with the misguided passage of the BLRA in 2007. The BLRA was pushed through the legislature in 2007 to allow utilities to charge ratepayers for nuclear generation projects before they were completed. The law set up a system that guaranteed a rate of return for the utilities and put ratepayers at risk for a failed project.

- This is a Pro-Conservation Amendment to the Budget.
- The Pro-Conservation Vote was YES for adoption of the amendment

• The Amendment was adopted by the House on 5/2/18. The budget conference committee then eliminated this amendment (Rule 24, Germaneness); however, its language was included in H.4375, the BLRA Reform Bill which passed on the last day of session.

H.4950 IRP Budget Amendment 36a

(Adoption) 5/2/18 House Vote: 62-40

Rep. James Smith (D-Columbia) introduced Amendment 36a to the budget in order to improve the Integrated Resource Planning (IRP) process at the Public Service Commission. If included in the final version of the budget, then the Public Service Commission (PSC) would have ensured that utilities look for the lowest cost options to address energy planning needs by requiring utilities to consider energy efficiency and renewable energy resources when looking for lowest cost options as well as ensuring the PSC incorporates cost-saving renewable and efficiency efforts into their orders and decisions. As we strive for a more efficient, renewable-based clean energy future, this is still an important regulatory step forward. The amendment was adopted by the House 62-40 on May 2, 2018. However, the budget conference committee then eliminated this amendment by Rule 24 because of a lack of Germaneness.

- This is a Pro-Conservation Amendment to the Budget.
- The Pro-Conservation Vote was YES for adoption of the amendment
- The Amendment was adopted by the House on 5/2/18. The budget conference committee then eliminated this amendment during its negotiations (Rule 24, Germaneness).

Bonus Bills - stalled and un-scored bills that determine sponsorship bumps:

- H.4425, Public Utility Consumer Protection Act, would have protected consumers from paying for abandoned energy projects and would create a consumer advocate to citizen interests.
- H.4307, Anti-Offshore Oil Infrastructure, would prevent the creation of infrastructure for the purposes
 of transporting offshore oil in SC.
- H.4334, Pro-Offshore Oil Infrastructure, would encourage the creation of infrastructure to transport oil drilled off SC's shores.
- H.4887, Support of Offshore Oil Drilling, would express support of the SC General Assembly of offshore drilling activities off the SC coast.
- H. 4875, Solar Habitat, would create voluntary best practices for solar producers to minimize negative environmental impacts.
- H. 5001, Clean Energy Access Act, would require utility companies to provide commercial or industrial customers (>1Mw) access to renewable energy programs.
- H.3304, Commercial Property Clean Energy Act or (C-PACE), would provide counties the ability to enact legislation that would make allow collection on property tax bills of private loans for efficiency or clean energy improvements on commercial properties.
- H.4458, Littering Penalties, expanded the penalties for specific types of litter to include cigarette waste and dead animals.
- H.3416, Environmental Bill of Rights, would create an environmental bill of rights that gives citizens the right to protect and conserve the environment.

- H.3565, Saving the Automatic Stay (similar to the initial version of S.105), would have made it harder for
 citizens to challenge a permit by removing the automatic stay and switching the burden of proof to the
 challenger of a permit in Administrative Law Court.
- H.4896, Anti-Offshore Oil Drilling, denounced any offshore oil activities along the SC coast.
- S. 219, SC Conservation Bank Re-authorization, would have re-authorized the Conservation Bank for another 10 years.
- S. 890, Energy Freedom Act, would allow for the purchase of energy from lowest-cost and independent producers.
- S.712, Offshore Oil Drilling Referendum, would mandate that a biased question about offshore drilling be included on 2018 primary ballots.
- S.784, Shoreline Retreat, would push back the effective shoreline retreat date until 2019, allowing for development in areas that should not be pursued.
- S.987, Clean Energy Access Act, would allow for greater access to renewable energy programs by commercial or industrial customers (>1Mw).
- S.323, Industry Immunity from Nuisance Suits, would make manufacturing and industrial facilities immune from nuisance suits from neighbors.
- S.298, Polluter Amnesty, sought to amend the Pollution Control Act in order to eliminate the ability of citizens to sue for the cleanup of past, unpermitted pollution

We've included a key for better understanding the following spreadsheets:

- +: Pro-conservation vote
- -: Anti-conservation vote

NV: No Vote – Failed to vote on the bill (counted as a negative)

EA: Excused Absence (not counted as a negative because the legislator was excused in the journal)

NA: Legislator was not a member of the body at the time of the vote

		Т		1	1			ı	1	T	T	1
SENATE								ē	Ę	<u>ē</u>		
_								S.105 Saving the Automatic Stay (Special Order Vote)	S.105 Saving the Automatic Stay (Adjournment Vote)	H.3653 Immunity from Nuisance Suits (Second)	5	Emergency Fund
"Lifetime" Senate								ja j	<u>E</u>	(Se	H.4727 Conservation Bank Re-authorization Second	3
scores have been								eci	ě	its	l iz) S
calculated using only							ф	(Sp	ĕ	S	Ĭ	ge ge
the 2011-2018 data.							cor	e	λe.	ခွ	ļ ņ	ue.
Not enough votes were recorded prior to							Se (Se	l St	l S	sar	Se .	8 E
2011 to enable							S.44 Solar Market Growth (Second)	ati	aţi	Ž.	풀	8 d
statistical scoring. Also,				ē	ē	ď	νο	E .	E	Ę	Ba	구
the Senate normally				9	5	μņ	Gr	Į į	Į į	fr	. <u>ē</u>	ear
votes only after				<u> </u>	e S	рВ	ket	e /	e /	Ē	vat	ō
reaching consensus,	ict		<u>s</u>	10	Bas	shi	larl	± 50	+	<u> </u>	Ser	-
leading to many 100%	istı		Scc	18	18	sor	Ī	Ë	Ę		Į,	auc
scores.	e D		μ	20	20	no	elo	Sar	Sar	= 8	2 (g	d P L
	Senate District		Lifetime Score	2017-2018 Total Score	2017-2018 Base Score	Bill Sponsorship Bump	4 5	S.105 Vote)	S.105 Vote)	365	4.4727 C Second)	H.4644 Landfill Clean-Up (Second)
	es	Party - Home County	Lif	20	20	Bil	5.4	S.1 Vo	S.1 Vo	Ξ̈́Ξ	H. ⁴	H.Y
							2/1/2017	3/7/2017	3/8/2017	1/31/2018	3/22/2018	4/25/2018
							38-4	25-15	10-22	29-14	38-0	43-0
Alexander, Thomas	1	R - Oconee	84%	60%	60%	0%	+	-	EA	-	+	+
Allen, Karl	7	D - Greenville	95%	83%	83%	0%	+	+	+	-	+	+
Bennett, Sean	38	R - Dorchester	80%	47%	50%	-3%	+	-	-	-	+	+
Campbell, Paul	44	R - Berkeley	75%	30%	40%	-10%	+	-	-	-	EA	+
Campsen, Chip	43	R- Charleston	94%	65%	60%	5%	+	-	-	+	EA	+
Cash, Richard	3	R- Anderson	67%	67%	67%	0%	NA	NA	NA	-	+	+
Climer, Wes	15	R - York	47%	47%	50%	-3%	+	-	-	-	+	+
Corbin, Tom	5	R - Greenville	63%	47%	50%	-3%	+	-	-	-	+	+
Cromer, Ronnie	18	R - Newberry	79%	33%	33%	0%	-	-	-	-	+	+
Davis, Tom	46	R - Beaufort	72%	85%	80%	5%	+	-	EA	+	+	+
Fanning, Mike	17	D - Fairfield	89%	89%	80%	9%	-	+	EA	+	+	+
Gambrell, Mike	4	R- Anderson	17%	17%	20%	-3%	-	-	-	-	EA	+
Goldfinch, Stephen	34	R - Georgetown	37%	37%	50%	-13%	+	-	-	-	+	+
Gregory, Greg	16	R - Lancaster	96%	93%	80%	13%	+	-	EA	+	+	+
Grooms, Larry	37	R - Berkeley	74%	57%	60%	-3%	+	EA	-	-	+	+
Hembree, Greg	28	R - Horry	75%	47%	50%	-3%	+	-	EA	+	+	+
Hutto, Brad	40 21	D - Orangeburg D - Richland	84% 53%	103% 33%	100% 33%	3% 0%	+ NV	+ NV	NV NV	NV NV		
Jackson, Darrell	36	D - Richiand D - Clarendon	94%	80%	80%		+	+	EA	INV -	+	+
Johnson, Kevin Kimpson, Marlon	42	D - Charleston	101%	100%	100%	0% 0%	+	+	+ +	+	EA	+
Leatherman, Hugh	31	R - Florence	85%	56%	50%	6%	+	-	-	-	+	+
Malloy, Gerald	29	D - Darlington	101%	103%	100%	3%	+	+	EA	+	+	+
Martin, Shane	13	R - Spartanburg	55%	60%	60%	0%	+	-	-	+	EA	+
Massey, Shane	25	R - Edgefield	71%	50%	50%	0%	+	-	-		+	+
Matthews, John	39	D - Orangeburg	94%	100%	100%	0%	+	+	+	+	EA	+
Matthews, Margie Bri	45	D- Colleton	100%	100%	100%	0%	+	+	+	+	+	+
McElveen, Thomas	35	D - Sumter	97%	103%	100%	3%	+	+	+	EA	+	+
Mcleod, Mia	22	D - Richland	103%	103%	100%	3%	+	+	+	+	+	+
Nicholson, Floyd		D - Greenwood	92%	83%	83%	0%		+	+	-	+	+
Peeler, Harvey		R - Cherokee	72%	33%	33%	0%		-	-	-	+	+
Rankin, Luke		R - Horry	66%	45%	50%	-5%	+	-	-	-	+	+
Reese, Glenn		D - Spartanburg	67%	53%	50%	3%	NV	+	+	-	NV	+
Rice, Rex		R - Pickens	50%	50%	50%	0%	+	-	-	-	+	+
Sabb, Ronnie		D - Williamsburg	81%	100%	100%	0%	+	+	+	+	+	+
Scott, John		D - Richland	96%	83%	83%	0%	+	+	NV	+	+	+
Senn, Sandy		R - Charleston	53%	53%	50%	3%	+	-	-	-	+	+
Setzler, Nikki	26	D - Lexington	82%	56%	50%	6%	+	NV	NV	-	+	+
Shealy, Katrina		R - Lexington	79%	50%	50%	0%	+	-	-	-	+	+
Sheheen, Vincent		D - Kershaw	95%	103%	100%	3%	+	+	+	+	+	+
Talley, Scott		R - Spartanburg	78%	78%	75%	3%	+	EA	EA	-	+	+
Timmons, William		R - Greenville	53%	53%	50%	3%	+	-	-	-	+	+
Turner, Ross	8	R - Greenville	77%	50%	50%	0%	+	-	-	-	+	+
Verdin, Danny		R - Laurens	67%	44%	50%	-6%	+	-	EA	-	+	EA
Williams, Kent	30	D - Marion	86%	57%	60%	-3%	+	-	EA	-	+	+
Young, Tom		R - Aiken	77%	53%	50%	3%	+	-	-	-	+	+
_												

Sponsored		Bump					9
Bills	Senate District	Bill Sponsorship Bump	Water	Land	Energy	Waste	Good Governance
Alexander, Thomas	1	0		S.219			S.323
Allen, Karl	7	0					
Bennett, Sean	38	-3					S.323
Campbell, Paul	44	-10					S.298(L), S.323(L)
Campsen, Chip	43	5		S.219(L)			
Cash, Richard	3	0					
Climer, Wes	15	-3					S.323
Corbin, Tom	5	-3					S.323
Courson, John	20	3		S.219			
Cromer, Ronnie	18	0		S.219			S.323
Davis, Tom	46	5			S.890(L)		
Fanning, Mike	17	9		S.219	S.954, S.890		
Gambrell, Mike	4	-3		3.213	3.33 1, 3.830		S.323
Goldfinch, Stephen	34	-13			S.712 (L), S.784 (L)		S.105
Gregory, Greg	16	13			S.44(L), S.890, S.987(L)		5.103
Grooms, Larry	37	-3			3.44(L), 3.030, 3.307(L)		S.323
Hembree, Greg	28	-3					S.323
Hutto, Brad	40	3		S.219			3.323
Jackson, Darrell	21	0		3.219	S.890		S.323
Johnson, Kevin	36	0		S.219	3.090		S.323
Kimpson, Marlon	42	0		3.219			3.323
Leatherman, Hugh	31	6			C O F 4 C 9 O O		
Malloy, Gerald	29	3		C 240	S.954, S.890		
	13	0		S.219			
Martin, Shane	25				C 0		c 222
Massey, Shane	39	0			S.954		S.323
Matthews, John		0					
Matthews, Margie Bright	45	0		0.010			
McElveen, Thomas	35	3		S.219			
Mcleod, Mia	22	3		S.219			
Nicholson, Floyd	10	0		S.219			S.323
Peeler, Harvey	14	0					(.)
Rankin, Luke	33	-5					S.105 (L)
Reese, Glenn	11	3		S.219	S.44		S.323
Rice, Rex	2	0			S.890, S.784		
Sabb, Ronnie	32	0					S.323
Scott, John	19	0					S.323
Senn, Sandy	41	3			S.890		
Setzler, Nikki	26	6		S.219	S.954		
Shealy, Katrina	23	0			S.890		S.323
Sheheen, Vincent	27	3		S.219			
Talley, Scott	12	3		S.219	S.890		S.323
Timmons, William	6	3			S.890		
Turner, Ross	8	0			S.890		S.323
Verdin, Danny	9	-6					S.105, S.323
Williams, Kent	30	-3					S.323
Young, Tom	24	3		S.219	S.890		S.323

	1								41			-				Se	se					Γ
HOUSE									Immunity from Nuisance econd)			Anti-Home Rule (Second)	å	Saving the Automatic Stay nd)		Net Metering Cap Increase Aotion to Recommit)	Cap Increase		_		H.4950 IRP Budget Amendment (Adoption)	
Lifetime scores were							E		luis		er	(Sec	Conservation Bank Re zation (Second)	ig i	. & (F	H.5045 Net Metering Cap Ind (Table Motion to Recommit)	ů.		s Reconsider)		ᇣ	l
calculated using the data between 2003 and 2018.				ė	ē	o.	H.3218 Dam Safety Reform (Second)	e E	E		. Consumo	ule	d)) ii	Landfill Clean-Up ncy Fund (Second)	Cal	Ca		ons		mer	Prudency Budget ment (Adoption)
Representatives coming into		nty		Š	Score	mng	ty R	Anti-Home Rule uing Bill)	fr fr	Poultry Bill te)	sons (F	e R	tion	Aut	lear (Seo	ring Rec	Net Metering n to Continue)		. Sec		t A	Buc
office at a later date have		noc		Se S	tal	ip E	afe	ē (i	ig -	onlt (es C	Mol	rva (Se	þe i	ll C	ete I to	ete	Solar Jobs I Reading)	Solar Jobs Motion to	obs (S	agp	Ad oc
fewer scores to include in	District	ne (or S	Ba	2018 Total	ırsh	m S	ti-H	m (bu	e Pc	Utilities te (Secor	ţ-Ţ	nse ion	ng t	ıdfi / Fu	t M tion	5 ₹	ar J	arJ	ar J ding	Bn (ag t
this. It is an average of their biennial scores since	Dist	호	e Sc	018	018	nsc	ے 2	A ii	<u> </u>	The e Vot	Uti te (Co	a ei	Lar inc,	Ne	a t	S &	Sol Sol	Sol	R io	F e
assuming office.	se	<u>.</u>	Lifetime Score	7-2	7-2	Spc	H.3218 D (Second)	H.3529 Anti-Hon (Continuing Bill)	653 s (5	H.3929 The Po (Cloture Vote)	3.79 10 ca	529	727 hori)5 S	644 erge	.5045 able N	H.5045 Net (Motion to	421 con	H.4421 Solar Jobs (Table Motion to	H.4421 Solar Jo (Third Reading)	H.4950 IRP (Adoption)	950 end
0	House	Party - Home County	Life	2017-2018 Base Score	2017-	Bill Sponsorship Bump	Н.3; (Se	H.3529 (Continu	H.3653 Immur Suits (Second)	н.3929 (Cloture	H.4379 Utilities Co Advocate (Second)	н.3529	H.4727 Conservation B authorization (Second)	S.105 Sav (Second)	H.4644 Land Emergency I	H.5i (Tał	H.5	H.4421 Solar Jobs (Second Reading)	H.4 (Tat	H.4421 Solar Jobs (Third Reading)	H.4 (Ad	H.4950 Prude Amendment
							2/1/2017	3/7/2017	3/22/2017	5/9/2017	1/23/2018	2/7/2018	2/14/2018	2/27/2018	3/8/2018	4/5/2018	4/5/2018	4/5/2018	4/10/2018	4/10/2018	5/2/2018	5/2/2018
							104.3	FO 40	70.27	54.44	4444	72.44	407.3	06.20	00.4	F4 F0	64.20	64.22	55.54	64.44	62.40	67.20
Alexander, Terry	59	D - Florence	52%	56%	56%	0%	104-3 NV	50-49 +	78-27 -	54-44 NV	114-1	73-41 -	107-3	86-30 NV	98-1	51-50 +	61-39	64-33	55-54 NV	61-44 NV	62-40	67-38 +
Allison, Rita	36	R - Spartanburg	61%	25%	22%	-3%	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Anderson, Carl	103	D - Georgetown	82%	60%	63%	3%	+	-	-	+	+	EA	+	-	NV	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
Anthony, Mike	42	D - Union	66%	87%	81%	-6%	+	+	EA	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Arrington, Katie	94	R - Dorchester	70%	67%	67%	0%	+	+	-	+	EA	-	+	-	NV	+	+	NV	+	+	+	+
Atkinson, Lucas	57	D - Marion	28%	31%	28%	-3%	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	NV	-	NV	+
Atwater, Todd	87	R - Lexington	50%	38%	32%	-6%	NV	-	EA	NV	NV	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	EA	EA	+	+
Bales, Jimmy	80	D - Richland	68%	38%	44%	6%	+	-	-	- ND /	NV	-	+	-	NV	+	+	+	NV	+	NV	NV
Ballentine, Nathan	71	R - Richland	82%	73% 69%	81%	8%	+	EA	-	NV	+	-	+	-	+ NV	+	+	+	+ NV	+	+	+
Bamberg, Justin Bannister, Bruce	90 24	D - Bamberg R - Greenville	83% 69%	42%	72% 39%	3% -3%	NV +	NV EA	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ EA	+ EA	+ EA	IN V	NV	+	+
Bedingfield, Eric*	28	R - Greenville	37%	50%	42%	-8%	+	EA -	+	-	NA NA	NA	NA NA	NA	NA NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Bennett, Lin	114	R - Charleston	66%	69%	66%	-3%	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
Bernstein, Beth	78	D - Richland	92%	87%	96%	9%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	EA	+	+	NV	NV
Blackwell, Bart	81	R - Aiken	72%	63%	72%	9%	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
Bowers, Bill	122	D - Hampton	79%	64%	67%	3%	NV	EA	NV	+	+	NV	+	+	EA		-	+	+	+	+	+
Bradley, Jeff	123	R - Beaufort	93%	80%	83%	3%	+	+	-	-	EA	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Brawley, Wendy	70	D-Richland	100%	100%	100%	0%	NA	NA	NA	NA	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Brown, Robert	116	D - Charleston	90%	88%	103%	15%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV	NV
Bryant, Bruce	48	R- York	17%	17%	17%	0%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NV	-	+	-	NV	-	+	- NIV (-	-	NV	-
Burns, Mike Caskey, Micah	17 89	R - Greenville R - Lexington	53% 87%	25% 81%	15% 87%	-10% 6%	+ NV	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	NV +	+	+	+	+
Chumley, Bill	35	R - Spartanburg	23%	25%	10%	-15%	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	_	+	NV	NV	NV	-	-	-	-
Clary, Gary	3	R - Pickens	113%	100%	120%	20%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	EA	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Clemmons, Alan	107	R - Horry	46%	29%	23%	-6%	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	EA	EA	-	-
Clyburn, Bill	82	D - Aiken	85%	88%	91%	3%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	NV	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Cobb-Hunter, Gilda	66	D - Orangeburg	80%	94%	106%	12%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Cogswell, William	110	R - Charleston	98%	92%	98%	6%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV	Α	Α	Α	+	Α	+	+
Cole, Derham	32	R - Spartanburg	72%	44%	44%	0%	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	NV	-	-
Collins, Neal	5	R - Pickens	66%	40%	40%	0%	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	EA	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Crawford, Heather	68	R - Horry	43%	25%	22%	-3%	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Crosby, Bill	117	R - Charleston	66%	50%	53%	3%	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	NV	-	+
Daning, Joseph	92	R - Berkeley	67% 50%	71% 44%	71%	0%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	EA -	EA	+	+
Davis, Sylleste Delleney, Greg	100	R - Berkeley R - Chester	50%		50% 53%	-3%	+	+	-	- NV	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
Dillard, Chandra		D - Greenville	97%	94%	108%	14%	+	+	+	NV	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Douglas, MaryGail		D - Fairfield	91%	94%	97%	3%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Duckworth, Greg		R - Horry	66%	25%	31%	6%	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Elliott, Jason		R - Greenville	38%	38%	38%	0%	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+
Erickson, Shannon	124	R - Beaufort	85%	75%	81%	6%	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	NV	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Felder, Raye	26	R - York	58%	31%	25%	-6%	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+		+	-	-	-	-	-
Finlay, Kirkman	75	R - Richland	65%	50%	56%	6%	NV	EA	-	-	+	NV	+	NV	+	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α	+	+
Forrest, Cal		R - Lexington	41%	38%	41%	3%	+	NV	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Forrester, Mike		R - Spartanburg	52%	25%	14%	-11%	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fry, Russell		R - Horry	67%	38%	38%	0%	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+
Funderburk, Laurie Gagnon, Craig		D - Kershaw R - Abbeville	93% 48%	100% 25%	109% 19%	9% -6%	+	+ NV	+	+	+	+ NV	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Gilliard, Wendell		D - Charleston	48% 81%	75%	84%	-6% 9%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV	NV	NV	NV	+	+	+	+
Govan, Jerry		D - Orangeburg	72%	73%	82%	9%	+	+	-	NV	+	-	+	-	EA	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
		_ 0.050015	/-	. 3/0	J2/0	3,0		ļ <u>'</u>													<u> </u>	

HOUSE									o o			ਰ		>		ase	ase					
									Immunity from Nuisance econd)			Rule (Second)	-è	Automatic Stay		Cap Increase ommit)	Cap Increase		Ē		Budget Amendment	
Lifetime scores were calculated using the data							H.3218 Dam Safety Reform (Second)		N N		ner	e) (Se	ž	iatic	ip & id)	H.5045 Net Metering Cap Ind (Table Motion to Recommit)	de de		H.4421 Solar Jobs (Table Motion to Reconsider)		p d	# =
between 2003 and 2018.		_		ē	5	요	Refe	Anti-Home Rule uing Bill)	E	E	Consumer od)	Sule	Conservation Bank zation (Second)	tom	H.4644 Landfill Clean-Up [{] Emergency Fund (Second)	β C 100					m m	H.4950 Prudency Budget Amendment (Adoption)
Representatives coming into		Party - Home County		2017-2018 Base Score	Score	Bill Sponsorship Bump	4	e F	, fr	Poultry te)	9 6		H.4727 Conservation B authorization (Second)	Aui	lea (Se	Net Metering Motion to Recc	H.5045 Net Metering (Motion to Continue)	s (S e	s	et /	/Bu
office at a later date have		Ç	au	ase	Total	ģ	safe	₽ 🗒	i i	o di	H.4379 Utilities Co Advocate (Second)	Anti-Home	Se (Se	the	<u>⊒</u>	lete n to	lete onti	H.4421 Solar Jobs (Second Reading)	Solar Jobs Motion to	Solar Jobs eading)) Bpr	H.4950 Prudency Amendment (Ad
fewer scores to include in	District	μ	Score	8 B	8 Tc	orsł	Ē	H.3529 Anti-Hon (Continuing Bill)	H.3653 Immun Suits (Second)	H.3929 The Po (Cloture Vote)	Utilities te (Secor	ŧ÷.	ion is	Saving the nd)	ndfi y Fu	tion tion	_ ₹ 0	lar. ead	lar. tion	H.4421 Solar Jo (Third Reading)	B.	nge t
this. It is an average of their biennial scores since	Dis	훈	e S	010	2017-2018)us	₽ 2 €	₹ ā	E 30	H.3929 The (Cloture Vol	te c	Ā	, Co izat	avi d)	t La	₹ %	N T	S. S.	S. Se	. So Rea	H.4950 IRP (Adoption)	필
assuming office.	House	÷	Lifetime	7.7	7-7	Spo	H.3218 D (Second)	529 ntir	653 ts (9	929 otur	37 <u>9</u>	526	727 hor	S.105 Sav (Second)	644 erge	045 51e	otio	l.4421 Second	421 ble	421 ird	95(opt	95(enc
	로	Par	Life	201	201	Bill	H.3 (Se	H.3529 (Continu	H.3653 Suits (Se	E. D	H.4379 Advocat	H.3529	H.4727	S.105 (Secor	H.4 Em	H.5045 (Table N	E F	H.4 (Se	H.4421	H.4421 (Third R	4. A	H.4
							2/1/2017	3/7/2017	3/22/2017	5/9/2017	1/23/2018	2/7/2018	2/14/2018	2/27/2018	3/8/2018	4/5/2018	4/5/2018	4/5/2018	4/10/2018	4/10/2018	5/2/2018	5/2/2018
							104-3	50-49	78-27	54-44	114-1	73-41	107-3	86-30	98-1	51-50	61-39	64-33	55-54	61-44	62-40	67-38
Hamilton, Daniel	20	R - Greenville	29%	29%	20%		+	-	-	-	+	-	+	NV	+	-	-	-	-	-	EA	EA
Hardee, Kevin	105	R - Horry	45%	25%	31%	6%	+	-	-	-	+	NV	+	-	+	NV	NV	NV	-	-	NV	NV
Hart, Chris	73	D - Richland	64%	56%	59%	3%	NV	NV	+	+	+	NV	+	+	+	NV	NV	+	+	+	NV	NV
Hayes, Jackie	55	D - Dillon	57%	33%	30%	-3%	EA	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
Henderson, Phyllis	21	R - Greenville	40%	25%	19%	-6%	+	-	-	-	+		+	-	+	-	NV	-	-	-	-	-
Henderson-Myers,	21	D Cnartanh	050/	020/	OER/	20/	NA	NIA	NIA	NIA	NV/									,		
Rosalyn Honogan Pat	31 54	D-Spartanburg D - Marlboro	95% 97%	92% 75%	95% 78%	3% 3%	NA +	NA -	NA -	NA NV	NV +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Henegan, Pat Herbkersman, Bill	118	R - Beaufort	78%	60%	78% 69%	3% 9%	+	+	-	NV -	+	+	+	+	EA	+ NV	+ NV	+ NV	+ NV	+	+	+
Hewitt, Lee	108	R - Georgetown	64%	55%	64%	9%	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	A	A	A	A	A	-	-
Hill, Jonathon	8	R - Anderson	55%	43%	43%	0%	<u> </u>		+	_		-		_		+	+	+	+	+	EA	EA
Hiott, David	4	R - Pickens	67%	33%	31%	-2%	+	EA	-	_	+		+	-	+	EA	EA	EA	-	-	-	-
Hixon, Bill	83	R - Aiken	55%	31%	28%	-3%	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	EA	EA	EA	-	-	-	-
Hosey, Lonnie	91	D - Barnwell	80%	94%	97%	3%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Howard, Leon	76	D - Richland	63%	88%	91%	3%	NV	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Huggins, Chip	85	R - Lexington	79%	69%	72%	3%	+	NV	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Jefferson, Joseph	102	D - Berkeley	80%	63%	66%	3%	+	-	-	+	+		+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
Johnson, Jeff	58	R - Horry	50%	25%	27%	2%	+	-	-	NV	+		+	-	+	-	NV	-	-	-	NV	NV
Jordan, Jay	63	R - Florence	54%	25%	22%	-3%	+	-	-	-	+		+		+	NV	NV	NV	-	-	-	-
King, John	49	D - York	83%	88%	91%	3%	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	NV	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Kirby, Roger	61	D - Florence	90%	75%	84%	9%	+	+	-	EA	+	-	+	-	+	EA	EA	EA	+	+	+	+
Knight, Patsy	97	D - Dorchester	79%	85%	85%	0%	+	+	EA	+	+	-	+	NV	+	+	+	+	+	+	EA	EA
Loftis, Dwight	19	R - Greenville	34%	63%	61%	-2%	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV
Long, Steven	37	R - Spartanburg	13%	19%	13%	-6%	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lowe, Phillip	60	R - Florence	60%	25%	22%	-3%	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	NV	NV	NV	-	-	-	-
Lucas, Jay	65 99	R - Darlington	62% 98%	25% 92%	30% 98%	5% 6%	+ NA	- NA	- NA	- NA	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-		+	+
Mace, Nancy Mack, David	109	R-Berkeley D - Charleston	78%	88%	98%	6%	+	+	NA -	+	NV NV	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Magnuson, Josiah	38	R - Spartanburg	55%	55%	55%	0%		+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	EA	EA	EA	EA	EA	NV	+
Martin, Rick	40	R - Newberry	25%	25%	25%	0%	+	-	-		+	-	+	_	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
McCoy, Peter	115	R - Charleston	74%	94%	105%	11%	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
McCravy, John	13	R - Greenwood	25%	25%	25%	0%	-	-	+	NV	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
McEachern, Joe	77	D - Richland	89%	81%	87%	6%	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
McGinnis, Timothy	56	R-Horry	15%	9%	15%	6%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NV	-	+	-	EA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
McKnight, Cezar	101	D - Williamsburg	83%	75%	78%	3%	+	+		+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV	NV
Mitchell, Harold*	31	D - Spartanburg	64%	33%	33%	0%	+	NV	EA	NV	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Moss, Dennis		R - Cherokee	63%	25%	22%	-3%	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moss, Steve	30	R - Cherokee	70%	36%	33%	-3%	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	EA	EA	EA	-	-	EA	EA
Murphy, Chris		R - Dorchester	61%	62%	56%		+	EA	-	NV	+	-	+	-	NV	+	+	+	EA	EA	+	+
Neal, Joe (Deceased)*		D - Richland	75%	100%	103%		+	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Newton, Brandon	45		38%	38%	38%		+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+
Newton, Weston		R - Beaufort	93%	88%	103%		+	+	+	NV	+	+	+	+	NV	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Norman, Ralph*	48		70%	100%	97%	_	+	NA	NA	NA 54	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Norrell, Mandy Powers		D - Lancaster	92%	100%	112%		+	EA	+	EA	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Ott, Russell		D - Calhoun	92%	88%	113%		+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Parks, Anne		D - Greenwood	77%	92%	95%		+	+ NA	NV	+ NA	EA	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	EA	EA NV
Pendarvis, Marvin		D- Charleston R - Laurens	81%	75%	81%		NA	NA	NA	NA	+	+	+	+	NV NV	+	+	+ NIV	+	+	NV	NV
Pitts, Michael		R - York	43% 75%	19% 60%	13% 60%		+	EA	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	NV +	-	+	+	+
Pope, Tommy	4/	IN - TULK	1370	00%	00%	U70	7	LA					-		7		-	7		7	-	1 7

HOUSE Lifetime scores were calculated using the data between 2003 and 2018. Representatives coming into office at a later date have fewer scores to include in this. It is an average of their biennial scores since assuming office.	House District	Party - Home County	Lifetime Score	2017-2018 Base Score	2017-2018 Total Score	Bill Sponsorship Bump	H.3218 Dam Safety Reform (Second)	H.3529 Anti-Home Rule (Continuing Bill)	H.3653 Immunity from Nuisance Suits (Second)	H.3929 The Poultry Bill (Cloture Vote)	H.4379 Utilities Consumer Advocate (Second)	H.3529 Anti-Home Rule (Second)	H.4727 Conservation Bank Reauthorization (Second)	S.105 Saving the Automatic Stay (Second)	H.4644 Landfill Clean-Up & Emergency Fund (Second)	H.5045 Net Metering Cap Increase (Table Motion to Recommit)	H.5045 Net Metering Cap Increase (Motion to Continue)	H.4421 Solar Jobs (Second Reading)	H.4421 Solar Jobs (Table Motion to Reconsider)	H.4421 Solar Jobs (Third Reading)	H.4950 IRP Budget Amendment (Adoption)	H.4950 Prudency Budget Amendment (Adoption)
							2/1/2017	3/7/2017	3/22/2017	5/9/2017	1/23/2018	2/7/2018	2/14/2018	2/27/2018	3/8/2018	4/5/2018	4/5/2018	4/5/2018	4/10/2018	4/10/2018	5/2/2018	5/2/2018
							2, 2, 202,	5,7,2017	5/ 22/ 202/	3/3/201/	2, 23, 2010	2,7,2010	2,11,2010	2,27,2010	0,0,2020	., 5, 2010	., 5, 2010	1, 3, 2010	1, 20, 2020	1, 10, 2010	5/ 2/ 2010	3/ 2/ 2010
							104-3	50-49	78-27	54-44	114-1	73-41	107-3	86-30	98-1	51-50	61-39	64-33	55-54	61-44	62-40	67-38
Putnam, Joshua	10	R - Anderson	27%	29%	23%	-6%	+	EA	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	EA	-	-	-	-
Quinn, Rick*	69	R - Lexington	54%	0%	-3%	-3%	NV	EA	-	NV	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Ridgeway, Robert	64	D - Clarendon	103%	100%	106%	6%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	EA	EA	+	+
Rivers, Michael	121	D - Colleton	57%	54%	57%	3%	EA	EA	EA	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	NV	-	NV	+	+
Rivers, Samuel		R - Berkeley	63%	75%	69%	-6%	+	+	NV	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Robinson-Simpson, Leola	25	D - Greenville	96%	94%	103%	9%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Rutherford, Todd		D - Richland	47%	50%	56%	6%	NV	+	NV	+	+	-	+	-	NV	NV	NV	NV	+	+	+	+
Ryhal, Mike*		R - Horry	51%	25%	19%	-6%	+	-	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Sandifer, Bill	2	R - Oconee	45%	25%	11%	-14%	+	-	-	NV	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Simrill, Gary		R - York	61%	56%	53%	-3%	+	NV	-	NV	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
Smith, G. Murrell	_	R - Sumter	70%	57%	63%	6%	+	EA	-	NV	+	-	EA	-	NV	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
Smith, Garry R.		R - Greenville	40%	31%	28%	-3%	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
Smith, James E.		D - Richland	101%	100%	125%	25%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Sottile, Mike	_	R - Charleston	81%	67%	73%	6%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	EA	+	+	-	+	-	NV	-	+
Spires, Kit	_	R - Lexington	72%	38%	35%	-3%	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	NV	+ EA	EA	EA	EA	-	NV	+	-
Stavrinakis, Leon	_	D - Charleston	96% 34%	93%	105%	12%	+	+	NV EA	+	+	+ EA	+	+		+	+ NV	+	+	+	+ NV	+
Stringer, Tommy	18 33	R - Greenville		29%	26% 31%	-3% 0%	+	NV		NV	+	EA -	+	-	+	NV -	INV -	NV -	-	-	NV -	NV -
Tallon, Eddie Taylor, Bill	86	R - Spartanburg R - Aiken	67% 57%	31% 43%	40%	-3%	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	+		-	-	EA	EA	+	+
Thayer, Anne		R - Anderson	36%	25%	19%	-6%	+	-	-	-	+	NV	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thigpen, Ivory		D - Richland	88%	88%	88%	-6%	NV	+	NV	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Toole, Mac	_	R - Lexington	69%	75%	78%	3%	+	+	NV	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+
Trantham, Ashley	-	R- Greenville	58%	58%	58%	0%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NV	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	NV	NV
Weeks, David	_	D - Sumter	77%	63%	66%	3%	+	+	-	NV	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV	NV
West, Jay	7	R - Anderson	28%	25%	28%	3%	NV	-	-	-	+	NV	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
Wheeler, Will	-	D - Lee	86%	80%	86%	6%	+	+	EA	NV	+	-	+	+	+	NV	+	+	+	+	+	+
Whipper, Seth	_	D - Charleston	83%	100%	100%	0%	+	+	+	+	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
White, Brian	_	R - Anderson	46%	19%	18%	-1%	NV	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Whitmire, Bill	1	R - Oconee	61%	25%	25%	0%	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Williams, Robert	62	D - Darlington	85%	81%	87%	6%	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Willis, Mark	16	R - Greenville	52%	33%	33%	0%	+	-	EA	-	+	•	+	-	+	EA	EA	EA	-			-
Young, Ronnie	84	R- Aiken	61%	58%	61%	3%	NA	NA	NA	NA	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	NV	NV
Yow, Richie	53	R - Chesterfield	57%	36%	33%	-3%	+	EA	-	EA	+	-	+	-	+	EA	EA	EA	NV	NV	-	-

Chanasad		<u> </u>					
Sponsored Bills	House District	Bill Sponsorship Bump	Water	pue	Energy	Waste	Good Governance
Alexander, Terry	59	0					H.3416, H.3653
Allison, Rita	36	-3					H.3565
Anderson, Carl	103	3		H.4727			H.3653, H.4379
Anthony, Mike	42	-6		H.4644		H.3529	H.3565, H.3653
Arrington, Katie	94	0			H.4421		H.3565, H.3653, H.4379
Atkinson, Lucas	57	-3		H.4644			H.3565, H.3416, H.3653, H.3929
Atwater, Todd	87	-6				H.3529	H.3565
Bales, Jimmy	80	6			H.4421, H.4425		H.3565, H.4379
Ballentine, Nathan	71 90	3			H.4421 (Co-S)	H.4458	H.3565, H.3416, H.4379
Bamberg, Justin Bannister, Bruce	24	-3				п.4436	H.3565
Bedingfield, Eric	28	- <u>s</u> -8				H.3529 (L)	H.3565
Bennett, Lin	114	-3				11.3323 (L)	H.3565, H.3653, H.4379
Bernstein, Beth	78	9		H.4727	H.4307		H.4896
Blackwell, Bart	81	9		H.4644		H.4458	H.4379
Bowers, Bill	122	3				H.4458	
Bradley, Jeff	123	3					H.4896
Brawley, Wendy	70	0					
Brown, Robert	116	15			H.4307, H.4421,		H.3416, H.4379, H.4896
Bryant, Bruce	48	0					
Burns, Mike	17	-10		H.4644	H.4334 (L), H.4887 (L)	H.4458	H.3653, H.3929
Caskey, Micah	89	6			H.4421,		H.4379
Chumley, Bill	35	-15			H.4334, H.4887		H.3565, H.3653, H.3929
Clary, Gary	3	20		H.4727	H.4421, H.4875, H.5001(L)		H.4379, H.4896
Clemmons, Alan	107	-6				H.3529	H.3565
Clyburn, Bill	82	3					H.4379
Cobb-Hunter, Gilda	66	12		H.4727	H.3304	H.4458	H.3416
Cogswell, William	110	6			H.4307		H.4896
Cole, Derham	32	0					
Collins, Neal Crawford, Heather	5 68	-3				11 2520 11 4450	H 2505 H 2052 H 4270
Crosby, Bill	117		H.3218		H.4307	H.3529, H.4458	H.3565, H.3653, H.4379 H.3565, H.3653, H.4379
Daning, Joseph	92	0			11.4307		H.3565, H.4379
Davis, Sylleste	100	6		H.4727		H.4458	H.3565, H.4379
Delleney, Greg	43	-3		11.1727		11.1130	H.3565
Dillard, Chandra	23	14		H.4644 (L)		H.4458	H.3416
Douglas, MaryGail	41	3		- ()			H.3416
Duckworth, Greg	104	6		H.4727		H.4458	H.3565, H.4896
Elliott, Jason	22	0		H.4727			H.3565
Erickson, Shannon	124	6		H.4727		H.4458	H.3653, H.4379
Felder, Raye	26	-6					H.3565, H.3653
Finlay, Kirkman	75	6		H.4727			H.4379
Forrest, Cal	39	3		H.4644		H.4458	H.3929
Forrester, Mike	34	-11			H.5045	H.3529	H.3565, H.3653 (L), H.4379
Fry, Russell	106	0				H.3529, H.4458	H.3565, H.4379
Funderburk, Laurie	52	9			H.5001		H.3416, H.4896
Gagnon, Craig	11	-6				H.4458	H.3565, H.3653, H.3929
Gilliard, Wendell	111	9		1	H.4307		H.3416, H.4379
Govan, Jerry Hamilton, Daniel	95 20	9 -9				H.3529	H.3416, H.4379, H.4896
Hardee, Kevin	105	- <u>9</u> 6		H.4727		H.3529 H.4458	H.3565, H.3653 H.3565, H.4379
Hart, Chris	73	3		п.4/4/		17.4430	H.3416
Hayes, Jackie	55	-3		+		+	H.3416, H.3653, H.3929
Henderson, Phyllis	21	- <u>5</u>		+		H.3529	H.3653
Rosalyn	31	3		H.4644			
Henegan, Pat	54	3		11.7077	H.4421	H.3529	H.3416, H.3653 , H.4379
Herbkersman, Bill	118	9		H.4727	H.4307	5525	H.4896
Hewitt, Lee	108	9		H.4727, H.4644		H.4458	H.3565, H.4896
1	100		 		+		

Spansarad		٩					
Sponsored Bills	House District	Bill Sponsorship Bump	<u>.</u>		Λ9	ej.	Good Governance
	Sno4	Bill S	Water	Land	Energy	Waste	9000
Hill, Jonathon	8	0		_	_	H.3529, H.4458	
Hiott, David	4	-2	H.3218				H.3929 (L)
Hixon, Bill	83	-3		H.4644		H.3529, H.4458	H.3565, H.3653, H.3929, H.4379
Hosey, Lonnie	91	3		H.4727			H.3416, H.3653
Howard, Leon	76	3					H.3416
Huggins, Chip	85	3		H.4727			H.3565, H.4379
Jefferson, Joseph	102	3		H.4727			H.3416, H.3653
Johnson, Jeff	58	2				H.4458(L)	H.3565
Jordan, Jay	63	-3					H.3565
King, John	49 61	3		H.4644		H.4458	H.3416 H.3416, H.3929 , H.4896
Kirby, Roger Knight, Patsy	97	0		п.4044		П.4436	n.3410, n.392 9, n.4890
Loftis, Dwight	19	-2			H.3304 (L), H.4887		H.3565, H.3653, H.3416 (L), H.3929
Long, Steven	37	-6			11.3304 (L), 11. 400 /		H.3565, H.3929
Lowe, Phillip	60	-3		H.4727		H.3529	H.3565
Lucas, Jay	65		H.3218(L)				H.3565, H.4379
Mace, Nancy	99	6				H.4458	H.4896
Mack, David	109	6			H.4307		H.4379
Magnuson, Josiah	38	0				H.4458	H.3929
Martin, Rick	40	0		H.4644		H.3529, H.4458	
McCoy, Peter	115	11		H.4727	H.4421		H.4379(L)
McCravey, John	13	0					H.3565 , H.4379
McEachern, Joe	77	6			H.4421	H.4458	H.3565, H.4379
McGinnis, Timothy	56	6				H.4458	H.4896
McKnight, Cezar	101	3					H.3416
Mitchell, Harold	31	0					
Moss, Dennis	29	-3	ł				H.3565
Moss, Steve	30		H.3218				H.3565, H.3653, H.3929, H.4379
Murphy, Chris Neal, Joe (Deceased)	98 70	-6 3					H.3565, H.3653
Newton, Brandon	45	0		H.4727			H.3416 H.3565
Newton, Weston	120			H.4727, H.4644	H.4421		H.4379, H.4896
Norman, Ralph (Vacant)	48	-3		11.4727, 11.4044	11.7721		H.3653
Powers Norrell, Mandy	44	12			H.4421, H.4425, H.5001		H.3416
Ott, Russell	93	25		H.4727	H.4421, H.4875 (L)	H.4458	H.3416, H.4379, H.4896(L)
Parks, Anne	12	3					H.3416
Pendarvis, Marvin	113	6					H.4379, H.4896
Pitts, Michael	14		H.3218			H.3529	H.3565, H.3929
Pope, Tommy	47	0					H.3565, H.4379
Putnam, Joshua	10	-6				H.3529	H.3565
Quinn, Rick	69	-3					H.3565
Ridgeway, Robert	64	6					H.3416, H.4896
Rivers, Michael	121	3					H.4896
Rivers, Samuel	15	-6	1	H.4727			H.3565, H.3653, H.3929
Robinson-Simpson, Leola	25	9					H.3416, H.4379, H.4896
Rutherford, Todd	74	6		H.4727			H.4379
Ryhal, Mike	56	-6			H 5045 (I)	H 3530	H.3565, H.3653
Sandifer, Bill	2	-14			H.5045 (L)	H.3529	H.3565, H.3653, H.3929, H.4379
Simrill, Gary	46 67	-3		1	H 4421		H.3565
Smith, G. Murrell Smith, Garry R.	67 27	-3			H.4421	H.3529	H.4896
Smith, James E.	72	25		H.4727	H.4307, H.4421 (L), H.4425 (I		H.3416, H.4379, H.4896
Sottile, Mike	112	6		11.7121	H.4307	- <i>1</i>	H.4896
Spires, Kit	96	-3			1507		H.3653
Stavrinakis, Leon	119	12		1	H.4307, H.4421		H.4379, H.4896
Stringer, Tommy	18	-3			,		H.3565
Tallon, Eddie	33	0					H.3565, H.4379
Taylor, Bill	86	-3					H.3565
Thayer, Anne	9	-6					H.3565, H.3653

Sponsored Bills	House District	Bill Sponsorship Bump	Water	Land	Energy	Waste	Good Governance
Thigpen, Ivory	79	0					
Toole, Mac	88	3					H.4379
Trantham, Ashley	28	0					
Weeks, David	51	3					H.3416
West, Jay	7	3	H.3218	H.4727		H.4458	H.3565, H.3653
Wheeler, Will	50	6				H.4458	H.4896
Whipper, Seth	113	0					
White, Brian	6	-1		H.4727(L)	H.5045		H.3565
Whitmire, Bill	1	0					
Williams, Robert	62	6		H.4644		H.3529	H.3416, H.4896
Willis, Mark	16	0				H.4458	H.3653
Young, Ronnie	84	3					H.4379
Yow, Richie	53	-3		H.4727, H.4644		H.3529, H.4458	H.3565, H.3653, H.3929