

2013-2014 Legislative Scorecard

Visit our interactive Scorecard at cvsc.org/scorecard

INTRODUCTION

Welcome to the 2013-2014 Conservation Scorecard, your non-partisan window into the South Carolina State House and the actions taken – or not taken – to protect the South Carolina you love.

While the State House can be complicated and confusing, our job is to show you who is working with – and against – our conservation efforts. Based on the Conservation Common Agenda's legislative priorities for 2013-2014, we score how House and Senate members vote on bills important to the conservation community.

Senate rules promote compromise and contemplative action, meaning bills are usually fully supported by the time they reach the Senate floor for a vote. To dig deeper into the true actions of Senators on conservation issues, we are now bringing you votes from Senate committee meetings. We have also added extra points to Senators' scores for introducing conservation-friendly bills, with a penalty for those Senators introducing bills against conservation.

Sometimes a legislator's actions are not simply captured in a floor vote or committee report. When legislators go above and beyond to advocate, support, or champion conservation issues, we think it's important to document that support and give them due praise. To review these actions, please visit www.cvsc.org/scorecard/good-green-deeds to see which Representatives and Senators have taken some action worthy of special recognition. Thank you for helping us protect the South Carolina you love.

VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

FLOW CONTROL (VOTE A)

"Flow control," H.3290 by **Rep. Bingham** would prohibit local governments from directing the flow of solid waste in their communities. Even with **Reps. W.J. McLeod, Edge, Herbkersman, J.E. Smith, and Munnerlyn** fighting against the bill, it passed 89-28 in 2013 (VOTE A). **Sen. Nicholson** slowed its progress in the Senate with a Minority Report in April, and **Sens. Hembree, Rankin, Cleary, Hayes, McGill, Matthews, and Malloy** assisted. In the fall, the "Don't Dump on SC" coalition launched a campaign to expose the bill's true intentions – to bring more out of state waste to South Carolina. This made the bill so toxic that the issue was not brought up for a vote in 2014.

Anti-conservation Bill

Status: Failed

LEED ELIMINATION (VOTES B & C)

Rep. Bill Sandifer introduced H.3592, the LEED Elimination Bill, to delete the use of the LEED green building rating system for new, state building projects starting in 2015. After Rep. J.E. Smith, Walt McLeod, and Laurie Funderburk fought the bill, it passed the House 70-40 (VOTE B) before moving onto the Senate for 2014. Sen. Campbell led the crafting of a compromise in the Agriculture and Natural Resources Committee supported by the conservation community and other stakeholders. The Senate passed the bill with a 40-0 vote (VOTE C). The House ultimately concurred with the Senate compromise, 96-0, leading to its ratification in April 2014.

House version - anti-conservation

Senate version – conservation compromise

Status: Passed

CONSERVATION BANK - 2013 (VOTES D & E)

A part of the budget bill, H.3710, Section 53 provided full funding for the Conservation Bank in 2013 with projections set at \$9.5 million and an additional \$5 million for projects in the lower Savannah River basin from a legal settlement. The House Ways and Means Committee overwhelmingly rejected a motion to redirect half of the Bank's funding to beach renourishment, and we credit these Representatives for defending the Bank: Reps. Allison, Anthony, Bingham, Clyburn, Cobb-Hunter, Edge, Herbkersman, Hosey, Huggins, Limehouse, Merrill, Neal, Ott, Pitts, Simrill, Skelton, J.R. Smith, Stavrinakis, and Whitmire. The floor budget vote was overwhelmingly for the Bank at 102-7 (VOTE D). The Senate also approved the funding by 37-6 (VOTE E).

Pro-conservation bill

Status: Passed

DHEC BOARD AUTHORITY (VOTE F)

H.3827, the DHEC Board Authority bill, introduced by **Reps. Pitts** and Loftis, sought to remove the review of permits from the DHEC Board, sending appeals straight to the Administrative Law Court, reducing the Board's accountability, and increasing litigation costs. **Reps. W.J. McLeod**, James Smith, Ronnie Sabb, and Gilda Cobb-Hunter expressed concerns about the bill but it passed the House in 2013 by a 71-34 vote (VOTE F). The bill moved to the Senate Committee on Medical Affairs in 2014, where it passed but **Sen. Scott** attached a Minority Report. The business community was unable to get the bill released.

Anti-Conservation Bill

Status: Failed

SOLAR TAX CREDIT (VOTE G)

A solar tax credit bill, H.3834, sponsored by **Rep. Loftis** and several others, aimed to allow tax credits for 25% of the total cost of a solar energy system installed between 2013 and 2018. After passing Ways and Means, it got a 74-26 House vote (VOTE G). The Senate sent the bill to the Committee on Finance, where it was buried for the rest of session.

Pro-Conservation Bill

Status: Failed

E-WASTE RECYCLING (VOTES H & I)

H.3847, the E-Waste Recycling bill by **Reps. Hiott** and **Hardwick**, sought to rectify the 2010 E-Waste Act that required special handling of electronic waste such as TVs and computers but failed to provide funding for implementation by counties and municipalities. E-waste passed easily in the House in April with a 75-33 vote (VOTE H). The bill was delayed in 2013 before its final House vote when big trash lobbyists saw its likely passage as an opportunity to attach the language from the stalled flow control bill. **Rep. Munnerlyn** raised a point of order to prevent a vote. In February, it passed the House with a 108-0 vote without the flow control amendments. The bill then passed the Senate with a 35-3 vote (VOTE I) and took effect in early March 2014.

Pro-Conservation Bill

Status: Passed

POLLUTER AMNESTY (VOTE J)

H.3925, sponsored by **Reps Hardwick and Loftis**, was labeled the "polluter amnesty" bill because it would have weakened the Pollution Control Act and stripped away the ability of citizens to legally contest unpermitted, past pollution such as leakage from coal ash ponds. **Reps. J.E. Smith, Newton, Neal, W.J. McLeod,** and others slowed its progress before passing the House with a vote of 80-30 (VOTE J). It passed out of Senate Medical Affairs late in 2014 with a Minority Report from **Sen. John Scott**. The bill never got a floor vote despite strong demands from the State Chamber of Commerce.

Anti-Conservation Bill

Status: Failed

ETHICS (VOTES K & L)

H.3945, introduced by **Rep. G.M. Smith** with many other sponsors, offered better economic disclosure rules, regulation of independent political action committees (PACs), elimination of leadership PACs, and the establishment of a legislative oversight group to handle enforcement. **Reps. Quinn, J.E. Smith, Pope, Bernstein**, and others worked to improve the ethics bill and it passed the House 113-7 (VOTE K). **Sens. Hayes, Courson, L. Martin, Sheheen, and McElveen** championed reforms, and the bill

was amended several times before passing the Senate (VOTE L). Sent back to the House, the bill went to the Judiciary Committee and passed the House with several amendments. The Senate voted to non-concur with the House amendments but the House insisted on its version. A conference committee was appointed with Reps. Delleney, Bannister, and Weeks and Sens. Hayes, Rankin, and Hutto. The House adopted the conference committee report, but Sen. Bright filibustered the bill on the last day before Sine Die adjournment, forcing the legislation to die without a vote

Pro-Conservation Bill

Status: Failed

SHORELINE MANAGEMENT (VOTE M)

With S.890 stalled in the House, **Sen. Cleary** used H.4603, a joint resolution by **Rep. Sottile** allowing sandbagging for golf course protection, as a vehicle for language to allow DHEC to issue permits for the replacement of the Debordieu seawall. The conservation community opposed H.4603 because the baseline protection was not included, but the bill cleared the Senate with most Senators thinking they were voting for the same compromise that had been crafted earlier on S.890. We thank both **Senators Kimpson and Hutto** for voting no. Reaching the House floor, **Rep. Brannon** made a motion to recommit this bill to the Committee on Agriculture, Natural Resources, and Environmental Affairs. **Rep. Hardwick**'s tabling motion failed with a vote of only 42-64 (VOTE M). The bill was recommitted, a win for the environment.

Amended to become Anti-Conservation Bill

Status: Failed

CONSERVATION BANK - 2014 (VOTES N & O)

The Budget bill (H.4701) includes funding for the South Carolina Conservation Bank. In March, we celebrated when the House approved the full amount that estimates projected for the Conservation Bank from the normal Deed Stamp formula (around \$10.5 million). The funding vote passed 98-9 in the House (VOTE N) and 41-3 in the Senate (VOTE O). Things changed, however, when Representatives and Senators delegated their responsibilities for finalizing the budget to two individuals: the House Ways & Means Chair (Rep. Brian White) and the Senate Finance Chair (Sen. Hugh Leatherman). This is the first time in recent memory that a transparent Conference Committee was circumvented. It likely happened because there were few differences between the House and Senate budgets and there were more revenues projected by the Board of Economic Advisors. When the final budget was submitted for one "up or down" vote, the Bank's revenues were capped at last year's authorization level of \$9.8 million – roughly \$2 million less than the BEA's revised estimate of \$12 million for the Bank.

Pro-Conservation Bill

Status: Passed

FARM TO SCHOOLS (VOTE P)

S.191, the Farm to School bill introduced by **Sen. Verdin**, directs the Department of Agriculture to create a program encouraging schools to serve locally grown, minimally processed farm foods. Considered an environmental and economic win-win, the bill passed the Senate (VOTE P). It received significant support in the House with a 105-0 vote before becoming law.

Pro-Conservation Bill

Status: Passed

Committee on Judiciary	Senate District	Party - Home County	Committee Bump	Committee Score	S.1189 Solar Energy	H.3945 Ethics	S.1011 Offshore Wind
	_						
Allen, Karl	7	D - Greenville	5%	100%	+	NV	+
Bennett, Sean	38	R - Berkeley	5%	100%	+	+	+
Bright, Lee	12	R - Spartanburg	-3%	50%	-	+	NV
Campsen, Chip	43	R - Charleston	5%	100%	+	+	NV
Coleman, Creighton	17	D - Fairfield	5%	100%	+	+	+
Corbin, Tom	5	R - Greenville	-3%	50%	+	NV	NV
Gregory, Greg	16	R - Lancaster	5%	100%	+	+	NV
Hembree, Greg	28	R - Horry	5%	100%	+	+	+
Hutto, Brad	40	D - Orangeburg	3%	67%	+	-	+
Johnson, Kevin	36	D - Clarendon	5%	100%	+	+	NV
Kimpson, Marlon	36	D - Charleston	5%	100%	+	*	+
Malloy, Gerald	29	D - Darlington	-3%	50%	NV	-	+
Martin, Larry, Chairman	2	R - Pickens	5%	100%	+	+	+
Martin, Shane	13	R - Spartanburg	-5%	0%	-	NV	-
Massey, Shane	25	R - Edgefield	5%	100%	+	+	+
McElveen, Thomas	35	D - Sumter	5%	100%	+	+	+
Rankin, Luke	33	R - Horry	5%	100%	+	NV	+
Scott, John	19	D - Richland	5%	100%	+	+	+
Shealy, Katrina	23	R - Lexington	-3%	50%	NV	NV	+
Sheheen, Vincent	27	D - Kershaw	-3%	50%	NV	+	NV
Thurmond, Paul	41	R - Charleston	-3%	50%	NV	NV	+
Turner, Ross	8	R - Greenville	5%	100%	+	+	+
Young, Tom	24	R - Aiken	5%	100%	+	+	+

Committee on Medical Affairs	Senate District	Party - Home County	Committe e Bump	Committe e S core	H.3827 DHEC Board Review	H.3925 Pollution Amnesty	H.3290 Flow Control
Alexander, Thomas	1	R - Oconee	-5%	0%	-	-	-
Bright, Lee	12	R - Spartanburg	-5%	0%	-	-	NV
Cleary, Ray	34	R - Georgetown	-5%	0%	-	NV	-
Courson, John	20	R - Richland	-5%	0%	NV	NV	NV
Davis, Tom	46	R - Beaufort	-5%	0%	-	-	-
Fair, Michael	6	R - Greenville	-5%	0%	NV	NV	-
Hayes, Wes	15	R - York	-5%	0%	NV	NV	-
Hutto, Brad	40	D - Orangeburg	-3%	33%	-	+	-
Jackson, Darrell	21	D - Richland	-3%	50%	NV	NV	+
Johnson, Kevin	36	D - Clarendon	-3%	50%	-	+	NV
Lourie, Joel	22	D - Richland	-5%	0%	NV	NV	NV
Martin, Shane	13	R - Spartanburg	-5%	0%	-	-	-
Nicholson, Floyd	10	D - Greenwood	-3%	50%	NV	NV	+
Peeler, Harvey, Chairman	14	R - Cherokee	-5%	0%	-	-	-
Pinckney, Clementa	45	D - Jasper	-3%	50%	NV	NV	+
Scott, John	19	D - Richland	5%	100%	+	+	NV
Verdin, Danny	9	R - Laurens	-5%	0%	NV	NV	-

SOLAR ENERGY (VOTES Q & R)

Sen. Gregory introduced S.1189 in 2013 and amended the solar bill in 2014 with compromise language from a variety of stakeholders. The Senate Judiciary Committee pulled the amended S.1189 out of subcommittee and sent it to the Senate floor after **Sen. Shane Martin** removed his Minority Report. The bill passed 37-0 (VOTE Q). The House Committee on Labor, Commerce, and Industry added some perfecting amendments but quickly moved the bill, thanks to the support of **Rep. Sandifer**. The House passed it 105-0 (VOTE R).

Pro-Conservation Bill

Status: Passed

Committee on Agriculture and Natural Resources	Senate District	Party - Home County	Committee Bump	Committe e Score	S.757 Wind Resolution	H.3847 E-waste	Н.3592 LEED	S.191 Farm to Schools
Bryant, Kevin	3	R - Anderson	5%	100%	+	+	NV	+
Campbell, Paul	44	R - Berkeley	5%	100%	+	+	+	NV
Coleman, Creighton	17	D - Fairfield	5%	100%	+	NV	+	+
Corbin, Tom	5	R - Greenville	5%	100%	NV	+	+	+
Gregory, Greg	16	R - Lancaster	3%	67%	+	+	NV	NV
Grooms, Larry	37	R - Berkeley	5%	100%	+	+	+	NV
Hembree, Greg	28	R - Horry	5%	100%	+	+	NV	+
Johnson, Kevin	36	D - Clarendon	5%	100%	+	+	NV	+
Massey, Shane	25	R - Edgefield	3%	67%	+	+	NV	NV
Matthews, John	39	D - Orange burg	3%	67%	+	+	NV	NV
McElveen, Thomas	35	D - Sumter	5%	100%	+	+	+	+
McGill, Yancey	32	D - Williamsburg	5%	100%	+	+	NV	+
Shealy, Katrina	23	R - Lexington	5%	100%	+	+	+	+
Sheheen, Vincent	27	D - Kershaw	-3%	33%	NV	+	NV	NV
Verdin, Danny, Chairman	9	R - Laurens	5%	100%	+	+	+	+
Williams, Kent	30	D - Marion	5%	100%	+	+	+	+
Young, Tom	24	R - Aiken	5%	100%	+	+	+	+

Scoring the Senate

The Senate prides itself on its ability to compromise and in fact Senate rules prevent most contested bills from reaching the floor. Since floor votes provide an incomplete picture, we applied a 90% scale to floor votes and provided a way for Senators to earn an additional 10% through committee votes and bill sponsorship.

Almost all the bills monitored by the conservation community were either in Agriculture & Natural Resources, Medical Affairs or Judiciary Committee. We scored votes in these committees on bills prioritized by the Common Agenda, and since Committee meetings often conflict, we allowed one missed vote to be excused. The 41 Senators serving on these Committees therefore received an adjusted up or down percentage "bump" based on a sliding scale. We plan to refine this process in the future.

Sponsored Bills	Senate District	Party - Home County	Bill Sponsorship Bump	Water	Land	Energy	Waste	Good Governance
Campsen, Chip	43	R- Charleston	10%	S.970				S.0002
Gregory, Greg	16	R - Lancaster	5%			S.1189		
Grooms, Larry	37	R - Berkeley	5%		S.515			
Hembree, Greg	28	R - Horry	10%			S.757, S.1011		
Verdin, Danny	9	R - Laurens	0%		S.191		S.203	

Committee Vote Scale

0-25% = -5% 51-75% = +3%

26-50% = -3% 76-100% = +5%

		1												
SENATE							au		- Conservation Bank	53) - Conservation Bank				
"Lifetime" scores							2013-2014 Adjusted Floor Vote Score		on B	on B				
reflect only four years							ţe (ati.	ati:				
since not enough votes were recorded prior						μ	Š		e.	er.				
to 2011 to enable						Bul	00		Suo	ons				
statistical scoring.				ore	μ	Score Bump	ᄪ	S S	0	<u> </u>				
Senate scores were		Ė		δ	Bur	S	ste.) of	53)	53)		Solar Energy		
scaled to 90% in order	ಕ	Š	ρ	inal	hip	ttee	l jū	8	ē	io		Ene	ste	10
to add up to 10% for committee votes and	District	шe	co	4 F	ors	Ē	4 A	ع ا	ect	ect	G	ā	, wa	h;
bill sponsorship; final		Party - Home County	Lifetime Score	2013-2014 Final Score	Sponsorship Bump	Committee	201	S.191 Farm to Schools	H.3710 (Section	H.4701 (Section	н.3592 LEED		H.3847 E-waste	H.3945 Ethics
scores were capped at	Senate	-	etin	13-	Sp		13-	91	371	170	,629	S.1189	84	394
100%.	Ŗ	Pa	ž	20	Bill	Tota	20	S.1	Ë	-	Ë		Ë	Ë
								Р	Е	0	С	Q	I	L
Alexander, Thomas	1	R - Oconee	84%	85%		E0/	90%	34-7	37-6 +	41-3	40-0	37-0 +	38-6	33-2
Allen, Karl	7	D - Greenville	95%	95%			90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Bennett, Sean	38	R - Dorchester	95%	95%			90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Bright, Lee	12	R - Spartanburg	9%	18%		-8%		-	-	-	+	+	-	-
Bryant, Kevin	3	R - Anderson	24%	31%			26%	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
Campbell, Paul	44	R - Berkeley	89%	95%			90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Campsen, Chip	43	R- Charleston	100%	100%	10%	5%	90%	+	EA	+	+	+	+	+
Cleary, Ray	34	R - Georgetown	83%	85%		-5%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	EA
Coleman, Creighton	17	D - Fairfield	84%	85%		10%	75%	+	+	EA	+	+	+	NV
Corbin, Tom	5	R - Greenville	66%	66%			64%	+	-	+	+	+	-	+
Courson, John	20	R - Richland	84%	85%			90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Cromer, Ronnie	18	R - Newberry	87%	90%			90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Davis, Tom	46	R - Beaufort	50%	59%			64%	-	+	+	+	+	-	+
Fair, Michael	6	R - Greenville	36%	72%			77%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
Gregory, Greg	16	R - Lancaster	92%	100%	5%		90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	EA
Grooms, Larry	37	R - Berkeley	75 %	100%	5%		90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hayes, Wes Hembree, Greg	15 28	R - York R - Horry	78% 100%	72% 100%	10%		77% 90%	+	+	+	+	NV +	+	+
Hutto, Brad	40	D - Orangeburg	72%	60%	10%		60%	NV	+	+	+	EA	+	NV
Jackson, Darrell	21	D - Richland	54%	27%			30%	NV	NV	+	NV	NV	+	EA
Johnson, Kevin	36	D - Clarendon	97%	97%			90%	+	+	+	EA	+	+	+
Kimpson, Marlon	42	D - Charleston	95%	95%			90%	*	*	+	+	EA	*	+
Leatherman, Hugh	31	R - Florence	87%	90%			90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	EA
Lourie, Joel	22	D - Richland	75 %	70%		-5%	75%	+	+	+	NV	+	+	EA
Malloy, Gerald	29	D - Darlington	94%	87%		-3%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Martin, Larry	2	R - Pickens	81%	95%		5%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Martin, Shane	13	R - Spartanburg	31%	29%		-10%		-	-	-	+	+	-	+
Massey, Shane	25	R - Edgefield	68%	85%			77%	+	+	+	+	+	NV	+
Matthews, John	39	D - Orangeburg	90%	80%			77%	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV
McElveen, Thomas	35	D - Sumter	100%	100%			90%	+	+	+	+	EA .	+	+
McGill, Yancey Nicholson, Floyd	32	D - Williamsburg D - Greenwood	89% 94%	95% 87%			90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
O'Dell, Billy	10 4	R - Abbeville	79%	90%			90%	+ EA	+	+	+	+	+	+ EA
Peeler, Harvey	14	R - Cherokee	53%	72 %			77%	+		+	+	+	+	+
Pinckney, Clementa	45	D - Jasper	66%	72 %			75%	+	EA	+	+	NV	+	+
Rankin, Luke	33	R - Horry	53%	56%			51%	NV	+	+	NV	NV	+	+
Reese, Glenn	11	D - Spartanburg	59%	77%		0%	77%	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV
Scott, John	19	D - Richland	100%	100%		10%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Setzler, Nikki	26	D - Lexington	80%	77%			77%	+	+	+	NV	+	+	+
Shealy, Katrina	23	R - Lexington	77%	77%		2%		+	+	+	NV	EA	+	+
Sheheen, Vincent	27	D - Kershaw	86%	71%		-6%		+	+	+	+	NV	+	+
Thurmond, Paul	41	R - Charleston	57%	57%			60%	-	+	EA	+	+	-	+
Turner, Ross	8	R - Greenville	82 %	82%	00/		77%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
Verdin, Danny Williams, Kent	9 30	R - Laurens D - Marion	65% 89%	90% 95%	0%		90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ EA
Young, Tom		R - Aiken	87%	95% 87%			77%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
Tourie, Tolli	24	n Ainell	37 70	3770		10/0	0	_	<u>'</u>	_ '	<u>'</u>		_ '	

HOUSE "Lifetime" scores average a Representative's biennial scores since 2003 - or since assuming office.	House District	Party - Home County	2013-2014 Lifetime Score	2013-2014 Score	H.3847 E-Waste	H.3925 Pollution Amnesty	H.3945 Ethics	H.3827 DHEC Board Review	H.3592 LEED	H.3290 Flow Control	S.1189 Solar Compromise	H.4603 - Shoreline Management	H.3710 (Section 53) - Conservation Bank	H.4701 (Section 53) - Conservation Bank	H.3834 Solar Tax Credit
					H	J	K	F	В	A	R	M	D	N	G
A1 1 T	F0	D - Florence	400/	200/	75-33	80-30	101-5	71-34	70-40	89-28	105-0	42-64	102-7	98-9	74-26
Allican Dita	59 36		49% 69%	36% 40%	NV -	+	NV EA	NV -	+	NV	+ +	+	NV +	NV +	NV
Allison, Rita Anderson, Carl	103	<u> </u>	83%	91%	+	+	+	+	+	NV	+	+	+	+	+
Anthony, Mike	42		60%	64%	NV	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
Atwater, Todd	87	R - Lexington	52%	40%	-	EA	+	_	-	_	+	+	+	NV	-
Bales, Jimmy	80		69%	64%	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	NV	+	+	+
Ballentine, Nathan	71	R - Richland	83%	67%	-	-	+	EA	-	EA	+	+	+	+	+
Bannister, Bruce	24	R - Greenville	71%	55%	+	-	+	NV	NV	-	+	NV	+	+	+
Barfield, Liston	58	R - Horry	39%	55%	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+
Bedingfield, Eric	28	R - Greenville	28%	20%	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	EA
Bernstein, Beth	78	D - Richland	73%	73%	NV	+	+	+	+	-	+	NV	+	+	+
Bingham, Kenny	89	0	67%	64%	+		+	-		-	+	+	+	+	+
Bowen, Don	8	R - Anderson	70%	40%	EA	NV	+	NV	NV	-	+	+	+	NV	NV
Bowers, Bill	122		80%	70%	+	-	+	+	+	+	NV	NV	+	EA	+
Branham, Lester	61		67%	64%	NV	+	+	+	+	-	NV	+	+	+	NV
Brannon, Doug	38		75%	63% 91%	-	EA	+	NV	EA	-	+	+	+	+	EA
Brown, Grady	50	D - Lee D - Charleston	60% 87%	82%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV	+	+
Brown, Robert Burns, Mike	17		56%	56%	+	+	NV +	+	NV	+	+	+	+	+	+
Chumley, Bill	35	R - Spartanburg	11%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	NV
Clemmons, Alan	_	R - Horry	44%	55%	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+
Clyburn, Bill	82		82%	73%	+	NV	+	NV	+	_	+	+	+	+	+
Cobb-Hunter, Gilda	_	D - Orange burg	75%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Cole, Derham		R - Spartanburg	71%	45%	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-
Crawford, Heather	68		45%	45%	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	NV
Crawford, Kris	63		62%	40%	-	NV	+	NV	EA	-	+	-	+	+	-
Crosby, Bill	117	R - Charleston	62%	60%	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	EA	+
Daning, Joseph	92		57%	40%	NV	-	NV	-	-	-	+	+	+	EA	+
Delleney, Greg	_	R - Chester	47%	36%	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	NV	+	+	-
Dillard, Chandra	+	D - Greenville	92%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	EA	+	+	+
Douglas, MaryGail	41		82%	82%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV
Edge, Tracy	_	R - Horry	33%	40%	NV	-	NV	-	NV	+	+	-	EA	+	+
Erickson, Shannon	_	R - Beaufort	81%	45% 45%	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	NV
Felder, Raye Finlay, Kirkman	_	R - York R - Richland	45% 64%	64%	+	-	+	-	NV	-	+	+	+	+	+
Forrester, Mike	34		56%	36%	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	NV	+	-
Funderburk, Laurie	52		87%	82%	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
Gagnon, Craig	11		45%	45%	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-
Gambrell, Mike	7	R - Anderson	63%	33%	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	EA	EA
George, Wayne	_	D - Marion	64%	64%	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
Gilliard, Wendell	_	D - Charleston	74%	73%	+	+	+	+	NV	+	+	+	NV	+	-
Goldfinch, Stephen	108	R - Georgetown	45%	45%	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-
Govan, Jerry		D - Orange burg	74%	70%	NV	EA	+	NV	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV
Hamilton, Daniel	_	R - Greenville	25%	18%	-	NV	+	NV	-	-	+	NV	-	-	-
Hardee, Kevin		R - Horry	27%	27%	-	-	+	-	NV	-	NV	-	+	NV	+
Hardwick, Nelson		R - Horry	54%	55%	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+
Harrell, Robert		R - Charleston	57%	55%	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+
Hart, Chris	_	D - Richland	60%	36%	+	+	NV	NV	+	NV	NV	+	NV	NV	NV
Hayes, Jackie	_	D - Dillon	56%	60%	+	EA	+	-	- NIV/	-	+	-	+	+	+
Henderson, Phyllis Herbkersman, Bill		R - Greenville R - Beaufort	25% 79%	36% 55%	+	-	+ NV	- NV	NV NV	-	+ NV	-	NV	+	-
Hiott, David		R - Pickens	69%	36%	+	-	NV	NV -	NV -	+	+	+	+	+	+ NV
Hixon, Bill	83		58%	40%	-	-	+	-	EA	-	+	-	+	+	IN V
Hodges, Kenneth	121		70%	82%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	NV	+	+	+
Horne, Jenny	_	R - Dorchester	73%	64%	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
Hosey, Lonnie	_	D - Barnwell	76%	91%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Howard, Leon	_	D - Richland	56%	64%	NV	NV	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV	NV
11011414) 20011									Г						

Jefferson, Joseph	102	D - Berkeley	80%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Kennedy, Ralph		R - Lexington	50%	50%	EA	-	+	-	-	-	+	NV	+	+	+
King, John	49	D - York	81%	55%			NV	NV	+	NV	NV			+	NV
-	_				+	+						+	+		
Knight, Patsy	97	D - Dorchester	75%	80%	+	+	EA	NV	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
Limehouse, Chip		R - Charleston	71%	30%	+	-	+	EA	-	-	NV	-	NV	NV	+
Loftis, Dwight		R - Greenville	24%	36%	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	NV	+
Long, Deborah		R - Lancaster	73%	45%	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	NV
Lowe, Phillip	_	R - Florence	68%	27%	-	-	NV	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-
Lucas, Jay	65	R - Darlington	65%	55%	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+
Mack, David	109	D - Charleston	76 %	91%	+	+	NV	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
McCoy, Peter	115	R - Charleston	68%	45%	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	NV	+	+
McEachern, Joe	77	D - Richland	89%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
McLeod, Mia	79	D - Richland	74%	73%	NV	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
McLeod, Walton	40	D - Newberry	93%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Merrill, James	99	R - Berkeley	65%	60%	+	EA	+	+	-	-	NV	NV	+	+	+
Mitchell, Harold	31	D - Spartanburg	74%	64%	+	NV	+	+	+	-	NV	NV	+	+	+
Moss, Dennis		R - Cherokee	69%	36%	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-
Moss, Steve	_	R - Cherokee	77%	55%	+	_	+	-	-	-	+	EA	+	+	+
		D - Marlboro	88%	100%	+										
Munnerlyn, Elizabeth				56%		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Murphy, Chris		R - Dorchester	59%		+	-	+	-	-	-	EA	+	+	+	EA
Nanney, Wendy		R - Greenville	27%	22%	-	-	+	EA	EA	-	+	- AD/	-	- AD/	-
Neal, Joe	70	D - Richland	71%	73%	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV	NV	+	NV	+
Newton, Weston	_	R - Beaufort	70%	70%	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	EA	+
Norman, Ralph		R - York	54%	45%	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+
Norrell, Mandy Powers	44	D - Lancaster	80%	80%	+	+	+	+	+	-	EA	NV	+	+	+
Ott, Russell	93	D - Calhoun	63%	63%	+	-	+	*	-	*	+	+	*	+	NV
Owens, Phil	5	R - Pickens	43%	27%	-	-	NV	-	-	-	NV	+	+	+	NV
Parks, Anne	12	D - Greenwood	71%	91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV	+	+
Patrick, Andy	123	R - Beaufort	75%	64%	+	-	+	NV	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
Pitts, Michael	14	R - Laurens	42%	45%	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+
Pope, Tommy	47	R - York	70%	55%	+	-	NV	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+
Putnam, Joshua	10	R - Anderson	25%	0%	-	EA	-	-	-	-	EA	EA	-	-	-
Quinn, Rick	_	R - Lexington	65%	55%	+	-	NV	-	<u> </u>	-	+	+	+	+	+
Ridgeway, Robert	64	D - Clarendon	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Riley, Shannon	_	R - Greenwood	45%	45%	+	-	NV	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+
Rivers, Samuel	_	R - Berkeley	27%	27%	-	_	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	NV	NV
	_							-		-					
Robinson-Simpson, Leola	25	D - Greenville	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Rutherford, Todd	_	D - Richland	43%	70%	EA	NV	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
Ryhal, Mike	56	R - Horry	50%	50%	+	-	+	-	-	-	EA	-	+	+	+
Sabb, Ronnie		D - Williamsburg	77%	91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV
Sandifer, Bill		R - Oconee	43%	55%	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-
Sellers, Bakari	90	D - Bamberg	68%	27%	NV	+	NV	-	NV	-	NV	+	NV	+	NV
Simrill, Gary	46	R - York	59%	36%	-	-	+	-	-	-	NV	-	+	+	+
Skelton, B.R.	3	R - Pickens	63%	45%	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	NV	-
Smith, G. Murrell	67	R - Sumter	69%	60%	EA	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
Smith, Garry R.		R - Greenville	38%	36%	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-
Smith, J. Roland		R - Aiken	60%	55%	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+
Smith, James E.	_	D - Richland	94%	82%	NV	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
Sottile, Mike		R - Charleston	78%	55%	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+
Southard, Eddie	_	R - Berkeley	48%	45%	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	NV	+	NV
			74%	55%	+	_		-		-					+
Spires, Kit		R - Lexington		100%		ΕΛ	+		-		+	-	+	+	
Stavrinakis, Leon		D - Charleston	95%		+	EA	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Stringer, Tommy		R - Greenville	33%	33%	EA	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	EA	+	-
Tallon, Eddie		R - Spartanburg	71%	55%	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
Taylor, Bill		R - Aiken	54%	45%	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-
Thayer, Anne		R - Anderson	38%	38%	-	-	+	EA	-	EA	+	+	EA	-	-
Toole, Mac	_	R - Lexington	66%	55%	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
Vick, Ted	53	D - Chesterfield	64%	55%	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+
Weeks, David	51	D - Sumter	76 %	73%	+	+	+	NV	+	+	+	NV	+	+	NV
Wells, Don	81	R - Aiken	36%	36%	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-
Whipper, Seth	113	D - Charleston	77%	91%	+	+	NV	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
White, Brian		R - Anderson	49%	45%	+	-	+	NV	-	-	NV	NV	+	+	+
Whitmire, Bill		R - Oconee	64%	55%	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-
Williams, Robert	_	D - Darlington	87%	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	EA	+	+	NV	+
Willis, Mark		R - Greenville	42%	18%	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
Wood, Donna	_	R - Spartanburg	45%	45%	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-
wood, Donne	/د	lır - Sharrannnığ	4370	40 /0			_ +					_ +	_ +	_ +	

Good Green Deeds

Sen. John Scott filed two timely Minority Reports to stop bills that would have reduced the DHEC Board's accountability (H.3827) and weakened the Pollution Control Act (H.3925).

Reps. James Smith, Weston Newton, Joe Neal, Walt McLeod, Mandy Powers Norrell, and others took to the House floor to slow down the passage of the "Polluter Amnesty" bill (H.3925).

Rep. James Smith and Sen. Chip Campsen laid groundwork for a continuing dialogue on surface water permitting by introduced bills to protect river flows from large agricultural withdrawals.

Sen. Greg Gregory sponsored our major solar bill last year and managed to get a compromise bill (S.1189) passed in record time at the end of the 2014 session.

Sen. Greg Hembree sponsored a successful resolution supporting wind energy (S.757) that passed on voice votes and legislation to develop offshore wind (S.1011) that was stopped by a Minority Report.

Sen. Floyd Nicholson halted the "flow control" bill (H.3290) with a Minority Report and was aided by Sens. Greg Hembree, Luke Rankin, Ray Cleary, Wes Hayes, Yancey McGill, John Matthews and Gerald Malloy.

Reps. Walt McLeod, Bill Herbkersman, Weston Newton, Tracey Edge and James Smith stood steadfast against "flow control" (H.3290) during both years of session and **Rep. Elizabeth Munnerlyn** raised a point of order to stop a last ditch effort to attach flow control to the e-waste recycling bill.

Sen. Marlon Kimpson withstood intense pressure from colleagues and kept his objection on S.890 for several weeks before a reluctant compromise was reached limiting the Debordieu sea wall exemption.

Reps. Mandy Powers Norrell, Bill Herbkersman, Doug Brannnon and others helped defeat both S.890 and H.4603 in the House when these bills were amended to provide beachfront exemptions without accompanying protections.

Reps. Allison, Anthony, Bingham, Clyburn, Cobb-Hunter, Edge, Herbkersman, Hosey, Huggins, Limehouse, Merrill, Neal, Ott, Pitts, Simrill, Skelton, J.R. Smith, Stavrinakis, and Whitmire refused in 2013 to let the Ways & Means Committee send Conservation Bank funding to beach renourishment.

Reps. Mandy Powers Norrell, Bill Herbkersman, Doug Brannnon and others helped defeat both S.890 and H.4603 in the House when these bills were amended to provide beachfront exemptions without accompanying protections.

Reps. Allison, Anthony, Bingham, Clyburn, Cobb-Hunter, Edge, Herbkersman, Hosey, Huggins, Limehouse, Merrill, Neal, Ott, Pitts, Simrill, Skelton, J.R. Smith, Stavrinakis, and Whitmire refused in 2013 to let the Ways & Means Committee send Conservation Bank funding to beach renourishment.